



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND NORTHWEST
1101 TAUTOG CIRCLE
SILVERDALE, WASHINGTON 98315-1101

5090
Ser N45/19-00336
02 July 2019

Reid Nelson
Director, Federal Agency Programs
Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
1100 Pennsylvania Avenue
Suite 803
Old Post Office Building Washington, DC 20004

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF THE FINDING OF NO ADVERSE EFFECTS TO HISTORIC PROPERTIES, NAVAL SPECIAL OPERATIONS TRAINING IN WESTERN WASHINGTON (DAHP Log. No. 2017-05-03022-USN and ACHP Log. No. 0011669)

Dear Mr. Nelson:

In accordance with 36 CFR 800.5(c)(2)(i), the Navy requests review of its finding of No Adverse Effect by the proposed Naval Special Operations Training in Western Washington. There are five conditions to support the finding of No Adverse Effect. The conditions were developed and agreed upon during consultation with the Washington State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) and consulting tribes. The SHPO is requesting the agreed upon conditions be stipulated in a two-party memorandum of agreement (MOA) as a prerequisite to her concurrence with the finding of effect. Under the Navy's interpretation of the regulations, the Navy has met all the requirements imposed by 36 CFR 800.5(b) and has no obligation to enter into a MOA stipulating to the five conditions.

As reflected in the enclosed correspondence, after six months of discussions, SHPO failed to formally agree or disagree with the Navy's finding of No Adverse Effect with conditions. As a result, the Navy is proceeding forward by considering SHPO's lack of response as a disagreement with the finding per 36 CFR 800.5(c)(2), and requests ACHP review.

Enclosed is a chronology of our Section 106 consultation (enclosure 1). The Navy began discussing the subject undertaking with SHPO's staff in late 2015 and formally initiated

consultation in April 2017. Enclosure 2 is correspondence evidencing the Section 106 process including letters relating to SHPO's request for a MOA. Although enclosure 2 provides key correspondence between the Navy, SHPO, and ACHP, additional documentation specified in 36 CFR 800.11(e) is available upon request. In accordance with 36 CFR 800.5(c)(2)(i), this letter and enclosures have been made available to the public via <https://navfac.navy.mil/NSOEA>

If you require additional information, please contact Mr. David Grant, NAVFAC NW Archaeologist at (360) 396-0919 or at dave.m.grant@navy.mil.

Sincerely,



T. H. LAZO, P.E.
Navy Environmental Program
Director, Northwest Region
By Direction of the Commanding
Officer

Enclosures: 1. Chronology of Consultation
2. Consultation Record of Key Correspondence

Copy to:

WA SHPO

Confederate Tribes of the Grand Ronde Community of Oregon (Ms. Cheryl K. Pouley, Archaeologist, on behalf of Briece Edwards, Historic Preservation Office Manager)

Jamestown S'Klallam Tribe (Mr. David Brownell, THPO)

Lower Elwha Klallam Tribe (Mr. Bill White, Cultural Resources Archaeologist)

Muckleshoot Tribe (Ms. Laura Murphy, Archaeologist)

Nisqually Tribe THPO (Ms. Jackie Wall, THPO)

Port Gamble S'Klallam Tribe (Ms. Stormy Purser, THPO)

Shoalwater Tribe of Shoalwater Bay Tribe of the Shoalwater Bay CR (Mr. Earl Davis, CR Specialist)

Skokomish Tribe (Ms. Kris Miller, THPO)

Squaxin Tribe (Ms. Stephanie Neil, Archaeologist, on behalf of THPO Rhonda Foster)

Suquamish Tribe (Mr. Dennis Lewarch, THPO)

Upper Skagit Tribe (Mr. Scott Shuyler, Cultural Resources Manager)

2 July 2019

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

Subj: NAVAL SPECIAL OPERATIONS TRAINING IN WESTERN WASHINGTON SECTION 106 CHRONOLOGY
(DAHP Log No: 2017-05-03022-USN; ACHPConnect Log No: 0011669)

Ref: (a) Consulting Tribes and Responses at Steps in Section 106 Process
(b) Interested Party Mailing List

9 Nov 2015 Navy call to Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer to discuss proposed types of training and define which types meet the definition of an undertaking.

Apr 2016-2017 Navy invites SHPO and Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation staff to attend equipment demonstrations and discuss details of training five times during this period. One meeting was cancelled due to weather. DAHP unable to attend the other offerings.

24 Apr 2017 Navy letters initiating consultation to SHPO, ACHP¹, tribes, and interested parties. In addition, e-mails and telephone calls to 26 Tribes (whose traditional territory covered the proposed area of potential effect [APE]). Thirty-three interested parties also receiving initiating consultation letters (historic societies, museums, Certified Local Governments, and governments within or directly adjacent to the proposed APE).

9 May 2017 SHPO letter replying they look forward to consultation

26 May 2017 ACHP letter replying they will not be actively participating

Apr/May 2017 Nisqually Tribe, Lower Elwha Klallam Tribe, and the Skokomish Tribe express interest. Nisqually requests Navy remove McNeil Island from consideration. Upon further discussion with Nisqually Tribe, only the area around the closed prison site will be included in APE. One response from interested party unrelated to undertaking.

22 Jan 2018 Navy letters defining of APE sent to SHPO and interested parties and letters, emails, and phone calls to each tribe. No response from Interested Parties.

29 Jan 2018 Shoalwater Bay Tribe of the Shoalwater Bay agrees with APE and requests IDP and Upper Skagit Tribe agree with APE.

30 Jan 2018 Snoqualmie Tribe and Squaxin Tribe agree with APE.

31 Jan 2018 Lower Elwha Klallam Tribe, Muckleshoot Tribe, and Port Gamble S'Klallam Tribe agree with APE.

¹ Bold indicates key correspondence letters included in enclosure 2.

- 8 Feb 2018** **SHPO letter conveying agreement with APE**
- 28 Feb 2018 Navy letter defining “reasonable and good faith” effort on identifying historic properties sent to SHPO and interested parties. Tribal consultation in form of letter followed by email and telephone calls to each tribe.
- 28 Mar 2018 SHPO letter response providing additional interested parties to include in consultation
- 3 Apr 2018 Jamestown S’Klallam Tribe provides information on resources of concern in their territory requested development of an inadvertent discovery plan (IDP).
- 4 Apr 2018 Shoalwater Bay Tribe of the Shoalwater Bay also request development of an IDP.
- Apr-Jun 2018 Requests from Muckleshoot Tribe and Squaxin Tribe to review IDP (dates or if conveyed by email or via phone call undetermined, but both received prior to Navy email transmitting IPD to four tribes who made requests on 20 June 2018).
- 14 Jun 2018** **Navy letter to SHPO determining National Register of Historic Places (NHPA) eligibility of previously un-evaluated buildings**
- 5 July 2018** **SHPO letter concurring with determination building are not eligible for listing in the NHPA**
- 22 Oct 2018** **Navy submits letter dated 18 Oct 2018 to SHPO with finding of No Adverse Effect with two conditions** (sensitivity training and implementation of an inadvertent discovery plan) and request for concurrence. Letter copied to Tribes and interested parties with follow up emails and telephone calls to each tribe. No response from Interested Parties.
- 29 Oct 2018 The Lower Elwha Klallam Tribe responds but have no comment on the finding of effect
- 20 Nov 2018 The Confederated Tribes of the Grand Ronde Community of Oregon responds but also with no comment
- 20 Nov 2018** **SHPO response letter requesting further information** including 1) maps of proposed training areas, 2) protocols to avoid damaging all cultural resource types, 3) proof of Secretary of the Interior (Sol) Qualifications of NAVFAC NW staff archaeologists, and 4) cultural resources sensitivity training materials.
- 19 Dec 2018** **Navy letter to SHPO responding for providing requested information and repeating request for concurrence with finding of effect**

- 15 Jan 2019** SHPO letter acknowledging Navy's adequate documentation and request Navy enter into a programmatic agreement to include 1) a process for new locations in future (when a new undertaking/expansion of APE), 2) Assurance Sol qualified staff review all proposed training activities/area, and 3) details of a notification process to SHPO when review/clearance complete.
- 26 Feb 2019** Navy letter stating position that a 'concise' Programmatic Agreement is unnecessary and the Navy is already committing to five conditions: 1) new undertakings or expansion of the APE already necessitate reopening consultation, 2) Sol qualified staff review of proposed properties prior to pursuit of real estate agreements for training, 3) Implementation of the approved IDP, 4) cultural resources sensitivity training, and 5) periodic affirmation/confirmation to SHPOs that the Sol reviews were being completed. Letter included third request for concurrence with Finding of Effect.
- 28 Mar 2019** SHPO letter requesting Navy enter into a memorandum of agreement (MOA) capturing the five conditions
- 15 Apr 2019** Navy letter reiterating any form of agreement document is unnecessary and requesting SHPO respond with agreement or disagreement in writing
- 25 Apr 2019** SHPO letter reiterating an MOA is necessary to codify the agreement and five conditions and requesting a draft MOA for review at Navy's earliest convenience and copies of correspondence received from affected tribes

DAVID M. GRANT
NAVFAC NW EV-9

TRIBES CONTACTED LETTER, EMAIL, PHONE	TRIBAL RESPONSES DURING 106 PROCESS					
TRIBE	Initiation	APE	HP ID	IDP	DOE	FOE
Clatsop-Nehalem Confederated Tribes						
Confederated Tribes of Grand Ronde Community of Oregon						X
Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation						
Confederated Tribes of the Chehalis Reservation						
Cowlitz Indian Tribe						
Hoh Indian Tribe						
Jamestown S'Klallam Tribe			X	X*		
Lower Elwha Klallam Tribe	X	X				X
Muckleshoot Indian Tribe of the Muckleshoot Reservation		X	X	X		
Nisqually Indian Tribe of the Nisqually Reservation	X					
Nooksack Indian Tribe of Washington						
Port Gamble S'Klallam Tribe		X				
Puyallup Tribe of the Puyallup Reservation						
Quinault Indian Nation						
Samish Indian Nation						
Shoalwater Bay Tribe of the Shoalwater Bay Reservation		X	X	X**		
Skokomish Indian Tribe	X					
Snoqualmie Indian Tribe		X				
Squaxin Island Tribe of the Squaxin Island Reservation		X	X	X		
Stillaguamish Tribe of Indians of Washington						
Suquamish Indian Tribe of the Port Madison Reservation						
Swinomish Indians of the Swinomish Reservation of Washington						
Tulalip Tribes of Washington						
Upper Skagit Indian Tribe		X				
Chinook Indian Nation						
APE = area of potential effect, HP ID = historic properties identification, IDP = inadvertent discovery plan, DOE= determination of effect, FOE = finding of effect						
* draft IDP requested at time but not received by tribe (IDP provided June 2019)						
** tribal agreement with IDP						

NAVAL SPECIAL OPERATIONS TRAINING IN WESTERN WASHINGTON
NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT SECTION 106 INTERESTED PARTIES

Anacortes Museum
1305 8th Street
Anacortes, WA 98221

Anderson Island Historical
Society
9306 Otso Point Road
Anderson Island, WA 98303

Bainbridge island Historical
Society
215 Ericksen Avenue NE
Bainbridge, WA 98110

Heather Beckmann
Associate Planner
City of Bainbridge Island
280 Madison Avenue North
Bainbridge, WA 98110

Rob Chave
Planning Manager
City of Edmonds
121 5th Avenue North
Edmonds, WA 98020

Lindey Sehmel
City Planner
City of Gig Harbor
3510 Grandview Street
Gig Harbor, WA 98335

Rian Shay
Planner
City of Hoquiam
609 8th Street
Hoquiam, WA 98550

John McKonagh
Associate Planner
City of Port Townsend
250 Madison Street
Port Townsend, WA 98368

Reuben McKnight
Historic Preservation Officer
City of Tacoma
747 Market Street
Tacoma, WA 98402

Clallam County Historical
Society
P.O. Box 1327
Port Angeles, WA 98362-1327

Kristen Griffin
Reserve Manager
Ebey's Landing National
Historic Reserve
P.O. Box 774
Coupeville, WA 98239-0774

Edmonds South Snohomish
County
P.O. Box 52
Edmonds, WA 98020-0052

Fox Island Historical Society
P.O. Box 242
Fox Island, WA 98333-0242

Ilwaco Heritage Foundation
P.O. Box 153
Ilwaco, WA 98624-0153

Island County Historical
Society
P.O. Box 305
Coupeville, WA 98239-0305

Jefferson County Historical
Society
210 Madison
Port Townsend, WA 98368

Reference (b)

NAVAL SPECIAL OPERATIONS TRAINING IN WESTERN WASHINGTON
NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT SECTION 106 INTERESTED PARTIES

Kitsap Historical Society
280 4th Street
Bremerton, WA 98337
Lopez Island Historical
Society and Museum
P.O. Box 163
Lopez Island, WA 98261-0163

Mason County Historical
Society
P.O. Box 843
Belfair, WA 98528-0843

Peninsula Historical Society
P.O. Box 744
Gig Harbor, WA 98335-0744

Sean Gaffney
Long Range Planner
Pierce County
2401 So. 35th
Tacoma, WA 98409

Poulsbo Historical Society
P.O. Box 844
Poulsbo, WA 98370-0844

Randy Harder
Executive Director
Point No Point Treaty Council
19472 Powder Hill Place NE
Poulsbo, WA 98370-8684

Polson Park and Museum
Historical Society
1611 Riverside Avenue,
Hoquiam, WA 98550

Puget Sound Maritime
Historical Society
P.O. Box 81142
Seattle, WA 98108-1142

Salmon Beach Historical
Committee
P.O. Box 70931
Tacoma, WA 98407-0931

San Juan Historical Society
P.O. Box 441
Friday Harbor, WA 98250-0441

Sumner Historical Society
P.O. Box 517
Sumner, WA 98390-0517

Tacoma Historical Society
P.O. Box 1865
Tacoma, WA 98401-1865

Vashon Maury Island Heritage
Association
P.O. Box 723
Vashon, WA 98070

Washington State Historical
Society
1911 Pacific Avenue
Tacoma, WA 9840

Reference (b)

Enclosure 1



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND NORTHWEST
1101 TAUTOG CIRCLE
SILVERDALE, WASHINGTON 98315-1101

5090
Ser N45/17-200
24 Apr 2017

Allyson Brooks, Ph.D.
State Historic Preservation Officer
Washington Department of Archaeology & Historic Preservation
PO Box 48343
Olympia, WA 98504-8343

Dear Dr. Brooks:

In accordance with 36 CFR Part 800, this letter is to initiate Section 106 consultation on the proposed undertaking; Naval Special Operations Training in Western Washington State. The Navy proposes to conduct small-unit land and maritime training activities for naval special operations personnel. Proposed training would occur on selected nearshore lands and in the inland waters of Puget Sound, including the Hood Canal, as well as southwestern Washington Coast (Enclosure 1).

The training involves small-units of personnel. The intent of the proposed training is to: 1) teach trainees the skills needed to avoid detection, and 2) not leave any trace of their presence during or after the training activities. The undertaking does not include the use of live-fire ammunition, explosive demolitions, manned air operations, off-road driving, vegetation cutting, digging, tree climbing, the building of camp fires, or the building of infrastructure. The two regions identified in Enclosure (1) offer unique conditions, which create opportunities for realistic and challenging special operations training in a safe, sheltered, cold-water environment. The combination of military presence in proximity to sites with diverse shoreline terrain, hydrography, and bathymetry is vital to the progressive improvement of skill sets and readiness requirements. Additionally, the close proximity to several existing Navy installations maximizes logistics, safety, and security. As specific training objectives are identified and scheduled, compatible sites within the two regions would be selected to support the activity.

The purpose of the proposed undertaking is to support small-unit training activities of Navy Special Operations personnel in maritime and land training environments. The need for the undertaking is to provide capabilities for training and equipping combat-capable special operations personnel ready to deploy worldwide.

5090
Ser N45/17-200
24 Apr 2017

Training will consist of both water-based and land-based training. For certain events, the activity will be a combination of the two. Training events will typically be between 2 and 72 hours in duration. For short-duration events, it is possible that multiple iterations of the same activity will be conducted to maximize the periodic use of an individual training site and for trainee throughput. The training activities may include: Diving and Swimming, Insertion and Extraction, Launch and Recovery, Unmanned Underwater Vehicle, Over-the-Beach, Special Reconnaissance, High-Angle Climbing, Simulated Building Clearance, and Unmanned Aircraft Systems (Enclosure 2).

The Navy looks forward to consulting with you on the proposed Naval Special Operations Training. Open House Outreach Meetings with the public have been scheduled to provide further information on this undertaking. These meetings are scheduled as follows:

- May 2: 5-8pm, North Kitsap High School, 1780 NE Hostmark Street, Poulsbo, WA.
- May 3: 5-8pm, Blue Heron Elementary School, 3939 San Juan Avenue, Port Townsend, WA.; and
- May 4: 5-8pm, Oak Harbor School District Boardroom, 350 S Oak Harbor Street, Oak Harbor, WA

If you require additional information or have questions regarding this project, my point of contact is Russell Sackett, NAVFAC NW Historical Architect. Mr. Sackett can be reached at (360)396-0927 or by email at russell.h.sackett1@navy.mil.


Sincerely,



T. H. Lazo, P.E.
Environmental Business Line Leader,
Northwest Region
By Direction of the Commanding Officer

Enclosures: 1. Proposed Naval Special Operations Training Areas
2. Training Activities Defined

ENCLOSURE 1: PROPOSED NAVAL SPECIAL OPERATIONS TRAINING AREAS



ENCLOSURE 2: TRAINING ACTIVITIES DEFINED

Diver and Swimmer Training: Trainees would maneuver to an objective area, such as a beach, harbor, or moored vessel, and conduct a variety of water-based activities.

Insertion and Extraction Training: Trainees would approach or depart an objective area using small watercraft. This activity trains personnel to effectively insert and extract swimmers, divers, and/or equipment during the day or night.

Launch and Recovery Training: Trainees would launch and recover up to two watercraft from a boat ramp or vessel.

Unmanned Underwater Vehicle Training: Trainees would hand-launch and recover a battery-powered watercraft.

Over-the-Beach Training: Trainees would exit the water, quietly move into upland areas, and remain hidden.

Special Reconnaissance Training: Trainees would hike to an observation point while remaining hidden, use observation techniques, follow procedures, and report back on a scenario involving role-play with military instructors or support staff.

High-Angle Climbing Training: Trainees would use climbing gear to navigate cliffs, rock faces, and other vertical structures to develop infiltration rescue and recover techniques.

Simulated Building Clearance Training: Trainees would conduct area/structure clearance activities at a secure site or engage in role-play threat scenarios. Training would include the use of simulated munitions, which are specialized plastic or paint capsules that are water soluble.

Unmanned Aircraft Systems Training: Unmanned aircraft systems would be used on military installations, designated warning areas, or restricted airspace and operated in accordance with the Federal Aviation Administration's Small Unmanned Aircraft Rule (Part 107). Trainees would hand-launch and recover lightweight, battery-powered systems for low-altitude training.



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND NORTHWEST
1101 TAUTOG CIRCLE
SILVERDALE, WASHINGTON 98315-1101

5090
Ser N45/17-201
24 Apr 2017

Reid Nelson, Director
Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
401 F Street NW, Suite 308
Washington, DC 20001-2637

Dear Mr. Nelson:

In accordance with 36 CFR Part 800, the Navy is initiating Section 106 consultation on the proposed undertaking; Naval Special Operations Training in Western Washington State. The Navy proposes to conduct small-unit land and maritime training activities for naval special operations personnel. Proposed training would occur on selected nearshore lands and in the inland waters of Puget Sound, including the Hood Canal, as well as southwestern Washington Coast (Enclosure 1). The purpose of this letter is to request whether the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation has an interest in participating in the consultation.

The training involves small-units of personnel. The intent of the proposed training is to: 1) teach trainees the skills needed to avoid detection, and 2) not leave any trace of their presence during or after the training activities. The undertaking does not include the use of live-fire ammunition, explosive demolitions, manned air operations, off-road driving, vegetation cutting, digging, tree climbing, the building of camp fires, or the building of infrastructure. The two regions identified in Enclosure (1) offer unique conditions, which create opportunities for realistic and challenging special operations training in a safe, sheltered, cold-water environment. The combination of military presence in proximity to sites with diverse shoreline terrain, hydrography, and bathymetry is vital to the progressive improvement of skill sets and readiness requirements. Additionally, the close proximity to several existing Navy installations maximizes logistics, safety, and security. As specific training objectives are identified and scheduled, compatible sites within the two regions would be selected to support the activity.

The purpose of the proposed undertaking is to support small-unit training activities of Navy Special Operations personnel in maritime and land training environments. The need for the undertaking is to provide capabilities for training and equipping combat-capable special operations personnel ready to deploy worldwide.

5090
Ser N45/17-201
24 Apr 2017

Training will consist of both water-based and land-based training. For certain events, the activity will be a combination of the two. Training events will typically be between 2 and 72 hours in duration. For short-duration events, it is possible that multiple iterations of the same activity will be conducted to maximize the periodic use of an individual training site and for trainee throughput. The training activities may include: Diving and Swimming, Insertion and Extraction, Launch and Recovery, Unmanned Underwater Vehicle, Over-the-Beach, Special Reconnaissance, High-Angle Climbing, Simulated Building Clearance, and Unmanned Aircraft Systems (Enclosure 2).

I look forward to hearing from you as to whether ACHP wishes to participate in Section 106 consultation for this undertaking. If you require additional information or have questions regarding this project, my point of contact is Russell Sackett, NAVFAC NW Historical Architect. Mr. Sackett can be reached at (360)396-0927 or by email at russell.h.sackett1@navy.mil.


Sincerely,



T. H. Lazo, P.E.
Environmental Business Line Leader,
Northwest Region
By Direction of the Commanding Officer

Enclosures: 1. Proposed Naval Special Operations Training Areas
2. Training Activities Defined

ENCLOSURE 1: PROPOSED NAVAL SPECIAL OPERATIONS TRAINING AREAS



ENCLOSURE 2: TRAINING ACTIVITIES DEFINED

Diver and Swimmer Training: Trainees would maneuver to an objective area, such as a beach, harbor, or moored vessel, and conduct a variety of water-based activities.

Insertion and Extraction Training: Trainees would approach or depart an objective area using small watercraft. This activity trains personnel to effectively insert and extract swimmers, divers, and/or equipment during the day or night.

Launch and Recovery Training: Trainees would launch and recover up to two watercraft from a boat ramp or vessel.

Unmanned Underwater Vehicle Training: Trainees would hand-launch and recover a battery-powered watercraft.

Over-the-Beach Training: Trainees would exit the water, quietly move into upland areas, and remain hidden.

Special Reconnaissance Training: Trainees would hike to an observation point while remaining hidden, use observation techniques, follow procedures, and report back on a scenario involving role-play with military instructors or support staff.

High-Angle Climbing Training: Trainees would use climbing gear to navigate cliffs, rock faces, and other vertical structures to develop infiltration rescue and recover techniques.

Simulated Building Clearance Training: Trainees would conduct area/structure clearance activities at a secure site or engage in role-play threat scenarios. Training would include the use of simulated munitions, which are specialized plastic or paint capsules that are water soluble.

Unmanned Aircraft Systems Training: Unmanned aircraft systems would be used on military installations, designated warning areas, or restricted airspace and operated in accordance with the Federal Aviation Administration's Small Unmanned Aircraft Rule (Part 107). Trainees would hand-launch and recover lightweight, battery-powered systems for low-altitude training.



Allyson Brooks Ph.D., Director
State Historic Preservation Officer

May 9, 2017

Mr. T.H. Lazo, P.E.
Naval Facilities Engineering Command Northwest
1101 Tautog Circle
Silverdale, WA 98315-11-1

In future correspondence please refer to:
Project Tracking Code: 111215-15-USN
Re: Navy Special Operations Training in Western Washington State
Re: Section 106 Consultation Initiation

Dear Mr. Lazo:

Thank you for contacting the Washington State Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation (DAHP) regarding the above referenced proposal. Based upon your letter, we understand that the Navy proposes to conduct small-unit land and maritime training activities for naval special operations personnel. Proposed training would occur on selected near-shore lands and in the inland waters of Puget Sound including Hood Canal as well as the southwest Washington coast, as identified in Enclosure 1.

In response thank you for your letter initiating Section 106 consultation regarding this undertaking. We look forward to your definition of the project area of potential effect (APE) and your survey methodology before proceeding with any inventories.

These comments are based on the information available at the time of this review and on behalf of the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) in conformance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and its implementing regulations 36 CFR 800. Also, we appreciate receiving copies of any correspondence or comments from affected tribes, local historic preservation agencies, and other parties that you receive as you consult under the requirements of 36 CFR 800.4(a)(4). Should additional information become available, our assessment may be revised.

Finally, please note that in order to streamline our responses, DAHP requires that all documents related to project reviews be submitted electronically. Correspondence, reports, notices, photos, etc. must now be submitted in PDF or JPG format. For more information about how to submit documents to DAHP please visit: <http://www.dahp.wa.gov/programs/shpo-compliance>. To assist you in conducting a cultural resource survey and inventory effort, DAHP has developed guidelines including requirements for survey reports. You can view or download a copy from our website.

Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment. If you have any questions, please contact me at 360-586-3073 or greg.griffith@dahp.wa.gov.

Sincerely,

Gregory Griffith
Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer

C: Russell Sacket, NAVFAC NW





Preserving America's Heritage

May 26, 2017

Ms. Terilynn Lazo
Environmental Business Line Leader
Navy Region Northwest
1101 Tautog Circle
Silverdale, WA 98315-1101

Ref: *Naval Special Operations Training in Western Washington State
Puget Sound Region and Pacific Coast Region, Washington
ACHPConnect Log Number: 0011669*

Dear Ms. Lazo:

On May 1, 2017, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP) received your notification and supporting documentation regarding the initiation of the Section 106 consultation regarding referenced undertaking. Based upon the information you provided, we have concluded that Section 800.2(b)(1), of our regulations, "Protection of Historic Properties" (36 CFR Part 800) implementing Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, does not apply to this consultation. Accordingly, we do not believe our participation in the consultation is needed at this time.

Navy Region Northwest should continue consultation with the Washington SHPO, Indian tribes, and other consulting parties to identify and evaluate historic properties and to assess any potential adverse effects on those historic properties. If you determine, through consultation with the consulting parties, that the undertaking will adversely affect historic properties, or that the development of a Section 106 agreement document (Agreement) is necessary, Navy Region Northwest must notify the ACHP and provide the documentation detailed at 36 CFR § 800.11(e). In the event that this undertaking is covered under the terms of an existing Agreement, you should follow the process set forth in the applicable Agreement.

If you have any questions or require our further assistance, please contact Ms. Katharine R. Kerr at (202) 517-0216 or by e-mail at kkerr@achp.gov and reference the ACHPConnect Log Number above.

Sincerely,

Artisha Thompson
Historic Preservation Technician
Office of Federal Agency Programs

ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION

401 F Street NW, Suite 308 • Washington, DC 20001-2637
Phone: 202-517-0200 • Fax: 202-517-6381 • achp@achp.gov • www.achp.gov

Enclosure 2



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND NORTHWEST
1101 TAUTOG CIRCLE
SILVERDALE, WASHINGTON 98315-1101

5090
Ser N45/18-00084
22 Jan 2018

Allyson Brooks, PhD
State Historic Preservation Officer
Washington Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation
P.O. Box 48343
Olympia, WA 98504-8343

SUBJECT: LOG NO 2017-05-03022: SECTION 106 CONSULTATION ON THE
DEFINITION OF THE AREA OF POTENTIAL EFFECTS FOR NAVAL SPECIAL
OPERATIONS TRAINING IN WESTERN WASHINGTON STATE

Dear Dr. Brooks:

Pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, and its implementing regulations in 36 CFR Part 800, the Navy is continuing consultation that was initiated on April 24, 2017 (Project Number 2017-05-03022) and asks for your comments on the Navy's proposed definition of the Area of Potential Effects (APE) for the proposed Naval Special Operations Training in Western Washington State (Enclosure 1).

The purpose of the proposed undertaking is to support small-unit training activities of Navy Special Operations personnel in cold-water maritime and land training environments. The intent of the proposed training is to build trainees' skills, experience, and confidence by challenging them in a location with dynamic weather, land, and cold-water conditions. As part of the rigorous training, the trainees learn skills needed to avoid detection along with the goal of leaving no trace of their presence during or after training activities. The locations identified in Enclosure (1) offer optimum training venues because of the diverse representative cold-water maritime environment, land infrastructure, topography, and bathymetry. Additionally, the proximity of several existing Navy installations maximizes logistics, safety, and security.

The undertaking does not include the use of live-fire ammunition, explosive demolitions, manned air operations, off-road driving, vegetation cutting, digging, tree climbing, the building of camp fires, construction, or the building of infrastructure. The transit to training areas depicted in Enclosure (1) is incorporated into the APE and will include public waterways and roads.

SUBJECT: LOG NO 2017-05-03022: SECTION 106 CONSULTATION ON THE
DEFINITION OF THE AREA OF POTENTIAL EFFECTS FOR NAVAL SPECIAL
OPERATIONS TRAINING IN WESTERN WASHINGTON STATE

Per 36 CFR §800.4(a), the Navy's definition of the APE is based on the scale and scope of the proposed training. The Environmental Assessment that is under preparation for the training identifies three regions in Western Washington State under consideration for the proposed training (Enclosure 1). Each region is defined by the various training options under consideration. For each region, training activities along the shoreline may occur up to 900 feet upland from the shore. In addition, the APE extends out into adjacent water. The APE for the three regions is as follows:

Region 1 (Enclosures 1A-1C): Region 1 extends from Port Townsend in the north to McNeal Island to the south. For clarity, this area has been arbitrarily divided into three areas; northern, central, and southern as follows:

APE for northern area consist of (Enclosure 1A):

- Fort Worden State Park;
- Fort Townsend State Park;
- Fort Flagler State Park;
- Mystery Bay State Park;
- Naval Magazine Indian Island; and
- The shoreline from Fort Worden State Park to south of Port Ludlow.

APE for the central area of Region 1 include (Enclosure 1B):

- Shine Tidelands State Park;
- Toandos Buffer Zone;
- Zelatched Point;
- Dosewallips State Park;
- Triton Cove State Park;
- Scenic Beach State Park;
- Naval Base Kitsap (NBK) Keyport;
- Illahee State Park;
- NBK Bremerton;
- McKean Camp and adjacent Kitsap Lake;
- Manchester State Park;
- NBK Manchester;
- Blake Island State Park;
- Shoreline from Port Ludlow south to Whitney Point on the west side of Dabob Bay;
- Shoreline on east side of Hood Canal across from Triton Cove State Park north to the Hood Canal Floating Bridge;
- Shoreline from Kingston south to Port Madison Reservation;

SUBJECT: LOG NO 2017-05-03022: SECTION 106 CONSULTATION ON THE DEFINITION OF THE AREA OF POTENTIAL EFFECTS FOR NAVAL SPECIAL OPERATIONS TRAINING IN WESTERN WASHINGTON STATE

- Shoreline from Port Madison Reservation, south to NBK Manchester (includes Liberty Bay, Dyes Inlet, and Sinclair Inlet); and
- Shoreline along the northern half of Bainbridge Island.

APE for the southern area of Region 1 include (Enclosure 1C):

- Gig Harbor;
- Point Defiance Park;
- Port of Tacoma;
- McNeal Island Prison buildings; and
- Chambers Creek Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant.

Region 2 (Enclosure 1D): Region 2 is located at the northern end of Puget Sound. Areas in Region 2 under consideration for training activities are as follows:

- Port of Anacortes;
- Deception Pass State Park;
- Skagit Island State Park;
- Hope Island State Park;
- Naval Air Station Whidbey Island Ault Field;
- Naval Air Station Whidbey Island Seaplane Base;
- Joseph Whidbey State Park;
- Fort Ebey State Park;
- Fort Casey State Park;
- South Whidbey State Park;
- Cama Beach State Park;
- Camano Island State Park;
- Sequim Bay State Park; and
- Discovery Bay and its shoreline.

Region 3 (Enclosure 1E): Region 3 is located along Washington's southwest coast. Region 3 areas under consideration for training activities are defined by the boundaries of the following state parks:

- Westhaven State Park;
- Westport Light State Park;
- Twin Harbors State Park;
- Grayland Beach State Park;
- Leadbetter Point State Park;
- Pacific Pines State Park;
- Cape Disappointment State Park; and
- Fort Columbia State Park.

SUBJECT: LOG NO 2017-05-03022: SECTION 106 CONSULTATION ON THE
DEFINITION OF THE AREA OF POTENTIAL EFFECTS FOR NAVAL SPECIAL
OPERATIONS TRAINING IN WESTERN WASHINGTON STATE

The Navy recognizes that the proposed APE may include historic properties of interest to state and federal agencies, local governments, community groups, and individuals on and near proposed training areas. In accordance with 36 CFR §800.3(f), the Navy has invited the interested parties identified in Enclosure 2 to participate as consulting parties. The Advisory Council on Historic Preservation informed the Navy on May 26, 2017 that their participation in the consultation is not needed.

The Navy also understands that the APE may include properties of cultural importance and significance to members of the Indian tribes of Washington. In order to identify possible religious or cultural significance to potentially affected tribes, the Navy has invited consultation with the following federally recognized tribes:

- Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation
- Confederated Tribes of the Chehalis Reservation
- Cowlitz Indian Tribe
- Jamestown S'Klallam Tribe
- Lower Elwha Klallam Tribe
- Muckleshoot Indian Tribe
- Nisqually Indian Tribe
- Nooksack Indian Tribe
- Port Gamble S'Klallam Tribe
- Puyallup Tribe of the Puyallup Reservation
- Quinault Indian Nation
- Samish Indian Nation
- Shoalwater Bay Indian Tribe of the Shoalwater Bay Indian Reservation
- Skokomish Indian Tribe
- Snoqualmie Indian Tribe
- Squaxin Island Tribe of the Squaxin Indian Reservation
- Stillaguamish Tribe of Indians of Washington
- Suquamish Indian Tribe of the Port Madison Reservation
- Swinomish Indian Tribal Community
- Tulalip Tribes of Washington
- Upper Skagit Indian Tribe

The Navy will take into consideration the results of consultation with all identified parties in the final defining of the APE.

SUBJECT: LOG NO 2017-05-03022: SECTION 106 CONSULTATION ON THE
DEFINITION OF THE AREA OF POTENTIAL EFFECTS FOR NAVAL SPECIAL
OPERATIONS TRAINING IN WESTERN WASHINGTON STATE

I look forward to receiving your comments with our defining of the
APE for this undertaking. If you require additional information or
have questions regarding this project, my point of contact is Russell
Sackett, Historical Architect. Mr. Sackett can be reached at
(360)396-0927 or by email at russell.h.sackett1@navy.mil.


Sincerely,




T. H. LAZO, P.E.
Navy Environmental Program Director,
Northwest Region
By Direction of the Commanding Officer

Enclosures: 1. Area of Potential Effects
2. List of Invited Interested Parties


ENCLOSURE 1: AREA OF POTENTIAL EFFECTS




ENCLOSURE 1A: REGION 1 NORTHERN APE




ENCLOSURE 1B: REGION 1 CENTER APE



ENCLOSURE 1C: REGION 1 SOUTHERN APE



ENCLOSURE 1D: REGION 2 APE



ENCLOSURE 1E: REGION 3 APE



ENCLOSURE 2: LIST OF INVITED INTERESTED PARTIES

Historical Societies

- Washington State Historical Society
- Bainbridge Island Historical Society
- Anderson Island Historical Society
- Clallam County Historical Society
- Edmonds South Snohomish County Historical Society
- Fox Island Historical Society
- Ilwaco Heritage Foundation
- Island County Historical Society
- Jefferson County Historical Society
- Kitsap County Historical Society
- Lopez Island Historical Society and Museum
- Mason County Historical Society
- Peninsula County Historical Society
- Polson Park and Museum Historical Society
- Poulsbo Historical Society and Museum
- Puget Sound Maritime Historical Society
- Salmon Beach Historical Committee
- San Juan Historical Society
- Sumner Historical Society
- Tacoma Historical Society
- Vashon Maury Island Heritage Association
- Anacortes Museum

Community Leadership Groups and Local Governments

- City of Bainbridge Island
- City of Coupeville
- City of Edmonds
- City of Gig Harbor
- City of Hoquiam
- City of Langlely
- Mason County Department of Community Development
- Pierce County
- City of Port Townsend
- City of Shelton
- Snohomish County
- Town of Steilacoom
- City of Tacoma
- Island County

Others

- Ebey's Landing National Historic Reserve
- Point No Point Treaty Council



Allyson Brooks Ph.D., Director
State Historic Preservation Officer

February 8, 2018

Mr. T.H. Lazo
Naval Facilities Engineering Command NW
Department of the Navy
1101 Tautog Circle
Silverdale, Washington 98315-1101

RE: Naval Special Operations Training Project
Log No. 2017-05-03022-USN

Dear Mr. Lazo;

Thank you for contacting our department. We have reviewed the materials you provided for the proposed Area of Potential Effect for the proposed Naval Special Operations Training Project at multiple locations in Western Washington.

We concur with your determination of the Area of Potential Effect (APE) as described and presented in your figures and text and accompanying materials.

We look forward to the results of your professional cultural resources identification efforts and the professional identification report, results of consultations with the concerned tribes, your Determination of Effect.

We request copies any correspondence or comments from concerned tribes or other parties that you receive as you consult under the requirements of 36CFR800.4(a)(4).

These comments are based on the information available at the time of this review and on the behalf of the State Historic Preservation Officer in conformance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended, and its implementing regulations 36CFR800. Should additional information become available, our assessment may be revised. Thank you for the opportunity to comment and a copy of these comments should be included in subsequent environmental documents.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Rob Whitlam', is written over a horizontal line.

Robert G. Whitlam, Ph.D.
State Archaeologist
(360) 890-2615
email: rob.whitlam@dahp.wa.gov





DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND NORTHWEST
1101 TAUTOG CIRCLE
SILVERDALE, WASHINGTON 98315-1101

5090
Ser N45/18-377
14 Jun 2018

Allyson Brooks, PhD
State Historic Preservation Officer
Washington Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation
1110 South Capital Way, Suite 30
P.O. Box 48343
Olympia, WA 98504-8343

Dear Dr. Brooks:

SUBJECT: DAHP PROJECT NO. 2017-05-03022-USN: CONTINUING SECTION
106 CONSULTATION ON DETERMINATIONS OF ELIGIBILITY, NAVAL SPECIAL
OPERATIONS TRAINING IN WESTERN WASHINGTON

Pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, and its implementing regulations 36 CFR 800, the Navy is continuing consultation and asks for your concurrence on the determinations that Chambers Creek Maintenance Building, Seabeck Elementary School, and Port Anacortes Transit Shed are not eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places. Please refer to the Historic Property Inventory forms submitted in WISAARD under Project Number 2017-05-03022-USN for detail on these determinations.

The Navy requests your concurrence with the finding of No Adverse Effect to Historic Properties for the proposed undertaking within 30 days of receipt of this letter, as per 36 CFR 800.3 (c) (4). If you require additional information, please contact Mr. Russell Sackett, NAVFAC NW Historical Architect at (360) 396-0927 or russell.h.sackett1@navy.mil.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "T. H. LAZO", is positioned above the typed name.

T. H. LAZO, P.E.
Navy Environmental Program
Director, Northwest Region



Allyson Brooks Ph.D., Director
State Historic Preservation Officer

July 5, 2018

T.H. Lazo, Director
Navy Environmental Program
US Dept. of the Navy
NAVFAC NW EV-1
1101 Tautog Circle
Silverdale, Washington 98315-1101

In future correspondence please refer to:
Project Tracking Code: 2017-05-03022
Property: Naval Special Operations Training in Western Washington State
Re: Determinations of Eligibility for Three Properties

Dear Mr. Lazo:

Thank you for contacting the Washington State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) and Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation (DAHP). The above referenced properties have been reviewed on behalf of the SHPO under provisions of Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (as amended) and 36 CFR Part 800. Our review is based upon documentation in the Historic Property Inventory (HPI) forms.

As a result of our review, we concur with your determination that the inventoried properties are NOT ELIGIBLE for the National Register of Historic Places under criterion C. Although over 50 years in age, your documentation and photographs indicate that all three properties have undergone extensive alterations/additions within the past 50 years. Our concurrence addresses the following properties only: Seabeck Elementary School (property ID # 679338), the Chambers Creek Maintenance Building (#715923), and the Port of Anacortes Transit Shed (#715950). Although these three resources are not National Register eligible, we look forward to receiving your project determination of effect on other National Register listed and eligible properties in the area of potential effect (APE).

Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment. Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at greg.griffith@dahp.wa.gov or 360-586-3073.

Sincerely,

Gregory Griffith
Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer





DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND NORTHWEST
1101 TAUTOG CIRCLE
SILVERDALE, WASHINGTON 98315-1101

5090
Ser N45/18-00605
18 Oct 2018

Allyson Brooks, Ph.D.
State Historic Preservation Officer
Washington Department of Archaeology & Historic Preservation
PO Box 48343
Olympia, WA 98504-8343

Dear Dr. Brooks:

SUBJECT: LOG NO. 2017-05-03022-USN: CONTINUING SECTION 106
CONSULTATION ON FINDING OF NO ADVERSE EFFECTS TO HISTORIC
PROPERTIES, NAVAL SPECIAL OPERATIONS TRAINING IN WESTERN
WASHINGTON

Pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (as amended) and its implementing regulations 36 CFR 800, the Navy is continuing consultation on the proposed Naval Special Operations Training in Western Washington and asks for your concurrence on the finding of No Historic Properties Adversely Effected. The Washington State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) concurred with the Navy's defined Area of Potential Effects (APE) on February 8, 2018 (Log No. 2017-05-03022). Of note, as a result of consultation with tribes, SHPO, and other interested parties, McNeal Island's Area of Potential Effect (APE) has been reduced to include only the immediate area around the old federal prison buildings.

There are a number of activities that may occur singularly or in combination under the proposed Naval Special Operations Training within the APE. The undertaking does not include the use of live-fire ammunition, explosive demolitions, manned air operations, off-road driving, vegetation cutting, digging, tree climbing, the building of camp fires, construction, or the building of infrastructure. A detailed list of training activities, along with description of each activity, are provided in Enclosure 1.

The Navy invited twenty-three (23) Tribes, as identified in its APE letter dated January 22, 2018 (DAHP Log 2017-05-03022), to participate in the consultation process on this undertaking. Tribal outreach was by letters (3), phone calls (3), and emails (3). The comments the Navy received from responding tribes indicated that it was preferred that McNeal Island not be used by Naval Special Operations Training, that any eroding archaeological sites and known

burial sites be avoided, and that the Navy put in place an Inadvertent Discovery Plan to address sites/burials that may be discovered during training activities. A large Traditional Cultural Property (TCP) was identified during consultation with the tribes with the tribes indicating that eroding archaeological sites in this TCP should be avoided. As a result of tribal consultation, proposed training will avoid eroding archaeological sites and known burials, and an Inadvertent Discovery Plan will be implemented if previously unknown archaeological or burials are encountered.

The Navy invited thirty-three (33) interested parties, as identified in its APE letter dated January 22, 2018 (DAHP Log 2017-05-03022), to consult on this undertaking. The Navy did not receive any comments from potentially interested parties in response to these letters.

The Navy held six (6) public meetings to provide an opportunity for the public to learn more about the proposed training and to provide comment on cultural resource concerns. These public meetings were held on:

- May 2, 2017: 5-8pm, at North Kitsap High School in Poulsbo;
- May 3, 2017: 5-8pm at Blue Heron Elementary School in Port Townsend;
- May 4, 2017: 5-8pm at Oak Harbor School District Boardroom in Oak Harbor;
- February 6, 2018: 5-8pm at North Kitsap High School;
- February 7, 2018: 5-8pm at Blue Heron Elementary School; and
- February 8, 2018: 5-8pm at Oak Harbor School District Boardroom.

During the public meetings, the public requested further information on what Section 106 is, and how the Navy is fulfilling the law's requirements. No concerns were expressed specific to historic properties at these meetings. Written comments received following the meetings consisted of one comment claiming that the Navy had not consulted with the Tribes and another concerning the Navy's use of tribal lands. Of note, tribal lands are not part of the Navy's defined APE and are not under consideration for the proposed Navy Special Operations Training.

The Navy has determined that there are recorded historic properties that have been listed in or determined eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) within the APE per our proposed inventory methodology dated February 28, 2018 (Enclosure

5090
Ser N45/18-00605
18 Oct 2018

2). The Navy has applied the criteria of adverse effect per 36 CFR §800.5(2)(1) and determined that Naval Special Operations Training in Western Washington will not adversely affect historic properties.

The proposed Simulated Building Clearance Training Activities is limited to the buildings identified in Enclosure 3. All of these buildings have previously been determined not eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) with SHPO concurrence. In response to Governor Inslee's request, no Washington State Park's buildings are proposed for Simulated Building Clearance Training Activities.

Archaeological sites determined eligible for listing in or that are listed in the NRHP are found throughout the proposed APE (Enclosure 2). Naval Special Operations Training will avoid eroding archaeological sites and known burials. Prior to training activities, NAVFAC NW cultural resources staff will be consulted to determine whether there may be archaeological sites or burials within the proposed training event area. Training activities will be relocated if sites are known in the proposed training area. Cultural Resources Sensitivity training will be made part of the in-class portion of all training (Enclosure 4). In the event that unknown archaeological sites or burials are encountered during training exercises, training will stop and the enclosed Inadvertent Discovery Plan will be implemented (Enclosure 5).

The Navy requests your concurrence with the finding of No Historic Properties Adversely Effected by the proposed Naval Special Operations Training in Western Washington. If you require additional information, please contact Mr. Russell Sackett, NAVFAC NW Historical Architect at (360) 396-0927 or russell.h.sackett1@navy.mil.

Sincerely,



T. H. LAZO, P.E.
Navy Environmental Program Director,
Northwest Region
By Direction of the Commanding Officer

- Enclosures:
1. Description of Training Activities
 2. Inventory of Historic Properties Located in Area of Potential Effects
 3. Buildings Proposed for Simulated Building Clearance Training Activities
 4. Cultural Sensitivity Training
 5. Inadvertent Discovery Plan

Enclosure 1: Descriptions of Training Activities

1 Diver/Swimmer Training Activities

During diver/swimmer training events, trainees swim or dive to an objective area (e.g., harbor, beach, and or moored vessel) for up to six hours. During night training, the trainees would use buoys marked with a glow stick (Chemlight) to identify their location to the support staff. Rubber replica weapons could be carried by trainees to reproduce the bulk and weight of the gear the trainee would carry during an actual mission.

2 Insertion and Extraction Training Activities

During insertion/extraction training events, trainees may approach or depart an objective area using submersible craft, to include UUVs and ROVs, or water crafts (jet skis or small boats). This activity trains personnel to effectively insert and extract people and equipment during the day or night. Submersible and surface crafts would have lighting for night training. Insertion/extraction training events utilizing submersible craft would operate along the shoreline to conduct water-based training.

3 Launch and Recovery Training Activities

During launch and recovery training events, training would be conducted in water areas and consist of launching and recovering submersibles or surface craft, or a combination of both, from a boat ramp, water platform, or via a crane located on a ship or a barge, or Navy pier/wharf.

4 Unmanned Underwater Vehicle Activities

A subset of water-based training would involve the use of a UUV and on occasion an ROV (herein both described as UUVs). A UUV is a battery-powered, unmanned submersible that is hand-launched from a host vessel and would be used to assist with swimmer navigation. The UUVs operate within the water column and would not be set on the floor of the ocean or Puget Sound. UUVs operate under the same navigational rules as any water vessel and would be operated to avoid other vessels. UUVs would be used during approximately 10 percent of the time that other water based training activities would be taking place. The launching and recovery of the UUV would be conducted in water areas only and would utilize a fish finder type of device for navigation. UUVs can be autonomous or tethered and are controlled from the water surface or by a diver for real-time feedback to the operator. Diving personnel may be in the water in the near vicinity of the host vessel for the launch or retrieval portions of the activity. UUVs are tracked by personnel on the host vessel to ensure they remain on course and, if needed, can be recalled any point along the pre-programmed track.

5 Over-The-Beach Training Activities

During an Over-The-Beach training activity, trainees would exit water, cross the beach, and quietly transition to land-based activities. Upon arrival at a pre-designated area, trainees would remain out of sight for several hours before exiting the site or continue moving towards a pre-determined objective. Typically, when trainees conduct Over-The-Beach at a site, they cross the beach twice (arrival and departure). However, when conducting Over-The-Beach training at Naval Base Kitsap Keyport, trainees could move over the beach multiple times. This is a core training competency, as such, trainees are required to conduct this activity until they perform it correctly.

6 Special Reconnaissance Training Activities

Upon arrival at a designated area, trainees would hike to a designated observation point. Trainees are taught the techniques for conducting reconnaissance without alerting anyone to their presence or location. Trainees would remain undetected for a period of time with the goal of leaving no trace of their presence behind. This includes no vegetation being trampled, no branches broken, no footprints visible, or any other indicators that they were there. Trainees would use observation techniques, follow procedures, and report back on a scenario involving role play with military instructors or support staff. Special reconnaissance would be performed on activities that are staged and pre-arranged for training purposes.

7 High-Angle Climbing Training Activities

High-Angle Climbing events are training evolutions where trainees negotiate cliffs, rock faces, and other vertical challenges to develop infiltration and retrieval of climbing equipment techniques. Trainees are instructed in the use of ropes and other climbing gear to traverse obstacles while carrying gear. This training activity will occur in climbing areas designated by Washington State Parks at Deception Pass State Park.

8 Simulated Building Clearance Training Activities

The activity would consist of trainees conducting simulated actions against a site, or a military individual designated as part of the exercise who is simulating a threat or enemy, within a confined area or building. Simulated Building Clearance training develops the trainees' ability to operate within a small unit, move into a structure, conduct clearance from room to room, and engage in role play (military instructors or support staff) simulated combat scenarios. The combat scenarios involve the use of simulated weapons

and simulated munitions from both trainees and support staff acting as enemy opponents. The intent is for trainees to remain concealed and silent, and then departing the area with minimal disturbance and avoiding detection. The training includes the use of weapons configured to only fire plastic or paint pellets. No live-fire weapons or ammunition would be used. The simulated munitions would be marking rounds, which are specialized plastic/paint capsules that are environmentally friendly and water soluble. The temporary marks these simulated munitions make are about the circumference of a dime. Sounds associated with the firing of the simulated munitions sound similar to an air rifle. No property damage would occur, and cleanup (picking up simulated marking rounds/washing away paint marks if present) would be handled by the instructors and support staff immediately at the conclusion of the training scenario. Support staff would be on site at all times in order to ensure the overall safety in the training environment. Simulated Building Clearance sites would typically be separated from the public and would support the appropriate progression of training. These sites would be approved by property owners or land managers. Simulated Building Clearance training would comprise approximately 10 percent of each training block. Buildings identified for this training consist of:

- Building 957: Storage Disposal Facility on Naval Base Kitsap (NBK) Keyport;
- Building 1017: Covered Material Storage on NBK Keyport;
- Building 1018: Truck Loading Platform on NBK Keyport;
- Building 832: Ammunition Wharf on Naval Magazine (NAVMAG) Indian Is.;
- Building 833: Waterfront Operations Building on NAVMAG Indian Is.;
- Building 834: Blockers & Bracers Shop on NAVMAG Indian Is.;
- Building 910: Gasoline Storage Facility on NAVMAG Indian Is.;
- Building 986: Pier Cargo Staging Area on NAVMAG Indian Is.;
- Building 7070: MSF Storage Shed on NBK Bangor;
- Building 7079: Cable Storage Shed on NBK Bangor;
- Warehouse at Chambers Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant;
- Seabeck Elementary School at Seabeck; and
- Transit Shed at Port Anacortes.

9 Unmanned Aircraft Systems Training Activities

UAS would be utilized 10 percent of the time concurrent with other water-based or land-based training activities. The UAS consists of a hand-launched or catapult system, a control system, and a remotely

piloted or self-piloted (i.e., preprogrammed flight pattern) air vehicle that may be fixed-wing or rotary-wing. They would carry only non-hazardous payloads such as cameras, sensors, and communications equipment.

Propulsion is through electrical motor-driven propellers powered by rechargeable batteries. UASs are allowed in FAA designated restricted airspace (R6701). For training outside of R6701, the UAS would be flown at military installations in accordance with a valid FAA Certificate of Authorization. UAS training may be a standalone activity or used in conjunction with other training activities. UAS utilized for the proposed training would:

- be categorized as FAA Group 1 or Group 2 systems, weighing up to 55 pounds,
- vary in size up to approximately two meters in length, with a wingspan of three meters,
- operate below 2,000 feet above ground level,
- would utilize on the ground observers (no manned aircraft observers), and
- fly in accordance with FAA authorizations.

Enclosure 2: Inventory of Historic Properties in Area of Potential Effects

NRHP LISTED/ELIGIBLE ARCHAEOLOGICAL/SHIPWRECK PROPERTIES IN REGION 1 TRAINING AREA

#	PROPERTY NAME	LOCATION	DATE LISTED NRHP/ DETERMINED ELGIBLE	DAHP RESOURCE ID
1	JE00006: Bugge Spit Site	Indian Island	Determined Eligible	639580
2	JE00080: Port Ludlow Sawmill and Towns	Port Ludlow	Potentially Eligible	639616
3	JE00202: Burials	South of Port Ludlow	Potentially Eligible	639646
4	JE00302: Historic Railroad	Dosewalips State Park	Potentially Eligible	639717
5	KP00146- HistoricBridge/Debris	West of Kingston	Potentially Eligible	641463
6	KP00049: Historic Debris	Blake Island	Potentially Eligible	641429
7	JE00276: Shell Midden	Indian Island	Potentially Eligible	639698
8	JE00376: Sheridan Site	Indian Island	Potentially Eligible	659443
9	JE00023: Pond-Farm Site	Indian Island	Potentially Eligible	639597
10	JE00020: Bishop Point Site	Indian Island	Potentially Eligible	639594
11	JE00028: Jorgen Hill	Indian Island	Potentially Eligible	639601
12	JE00397: Crescent Bay Site	Indian Island	Potentially Eligible	661529
13	JE00018: Dynamite Point	Indian Island	Potentially Eligible	639592
14	JE00016: Walan Point Site	Indian Island	Potentially Eligible	639590
15	JE00377: Ferry Master's Site	Indian Island	Potentially Eligible	659444
16	<i>Alaska Reefer</i> (Shipwreck)	Indian Island	Unevaluated	No Number
17	Unknown Wreck	Indian Island	Unevaluated	No Number
18	Unknown (Shipwreck)	Off Old Fort Townsed	Unevaluated	No Number
19	Unknown (Shipwreck)	East of Mats Mats Bay	Unevaluated	No Number
20	Unknown (Shipwreck)	East of Mats Mats Bay	Unevaluated	No Number
21	JE000368: Port Ludlow Log Booming	Port Ludlow	Potentially Eligible	659085
22	Unknown Wreck	Port Ludlow	Unevaluated	No Number
23	Unknown Wreck	Port Ludlow	Unevaluated	No Number
24	Unknown Wreck	Port Ludlow	Unevaluated	No Number
25	JE00203: Burials	South shore Port Ludlow	Unevaluated	639646
26	JE00206: Shell Midden/Burials	South shore Port Ludlow	Unevaluated	639649
27	JE00333: Historic Debris	Point Hannon State Park	Potentially Eligible	639725
28	JE00365: Shell Midden	Shine Tidelands State Park	Potentially Eligible	658433
29	JE00364: Shell Midden	Shine Tidelands State Park	Potentially Eligible	658432
30	JE00357: Brinnon Hotel/Road Grade	Dosewalips State Park	Potentially Eligible	656455
31	JE00356: Historic Bridge	Dosewalips State Park	Potentially Eligible	656454
32	KP00227-Shell Midden	NBK Bangor	Determined Eligible	657676
33	KP00151: Port Madison Mill	East shore Bainbridge Is.	Potentially Eligible	641468
34	Unknown Wreck	East of Fay Bainbridge	Unevaluated	No Number

		Park		
35	Unknown Wreck	Liberty Bay	Unevaluated	No Number
36	KP00236: Pig Bone Site	NBK Keyport	Potentially Eligible	659473
37	Unknown Wreck	SE of Gilberton	Unevaluated	None
38	Unknown Wreck	SE of Gilberton	Unevaluated	None
39	KP00009: Burial	East side Manette Bridge, Bremerton	Determined Eligible	641395
40	KP00116: Shell Midden	Elwood Point	Determined Eligible	641448
41	KP00120: Shell Midden	Southwest shore Ostrich Bay	Listed in NRHP	641452
42	KP00123: Shell Midden	Central Rocky Point Peninsula, Dyes Inlet	Determined Eligible	641455
43	KP00121: Shell Midden	Evergreen Park	Determined Eligible	641453
44	KP00117: Midden	NBK Manchester	Potentially Eligible	641449
45	KP00119: Midden	NBK Manchester	Potentially Eligible	641451
46	KP00118: Midden	NBK Manchester	Potentially Eligible	641450
47	KP00014: Midden	Blake Island	Potentially Eligible	641400
48	KP00013: Midden	Blake Island	Potentially Eligible	641399
49	PI00102: Midden	Gig Harbor	Potentially Eligible	646873
50	PI01335: Dickman Mill Headsaw	Point Defiance	Potentially Eligible	660702
51	JE00012	Kunn Spit	Listed in NRHP	639586
52	JE00027	Port Hadlock	WA State Register	639600
53	JE00085	Near Quilcene Boat Haven	Listed in NRHP	639620
54	JE00289	North of Port Hadlock	Potentially Eligible	639704
55	JE00290	Head of Quilcene Bay	Potentially Eligible	639705
56	JE00300	Head of Pleasant Harbor	Potentially Eligible	639715
57	JE00358	Irondale	Potentially Eligible	656619
58	JE00026	Old Fort Townsend	Potentially Eligible	657015
59	JE00368	Port Ludlow	Potentially Eligible	659085
60	KP00110	Port Blakely	Potentially Eligible	641442
61	KP00146	West of Kingston	Potentially Eligible	641463
62	PI00447	Near Chambers	Potentially Eligible	647050
63	PI00706	Port of Tacoma	Potentially Eligible	647173
64	PI00975	Port of Tacoma	Potentially Eligible	653467
65	JE00344	NW of Bywater Bay	Potentially Eligible	653977
66	JE00371	Westside Quilcene Bay	Potentially Eligible	659288
67	JE00372	Port Hadlock	Potentially Eligible	659289
68	JE00373	Indian Island	Potentially Eligible	659290
69	JE00374	Indian Island	Potentially Eligible	659441
70	JE00375	Indian Island	Potentially Eligible	659442
71	KP00237	Keyport	Potentially Eligible	659474
72	KP00240	Bangor	Potentially Eligible	659898
73	KP00284	Near Harper Park	Potentially Eligible	661497
74	KP00287	North of Poulsbo	Potentially Eligible	670027
75	PI01331	Chambers	Potentially Eligible	660565
76	PI00159	Point Defiance Park	Potentially Eligible	661596
77	PI01350	Point Defiance Park	Potentially Eligible	661997

NRHP LISTED/ELIGIBLE ARCHITECTURAL PROPERTIES IN REGION 1 TRAINING AREA

#	PROPERTY NAME	LOCATION	DATE LISTED NRHP/ DETERMINED ELGIBLE	DAHP RESOURCE ID
78	Fort Warden Historic District (FWHD)	Fort Worden State Park (FWSP)	National Hist Landmark	674629
78a	Bldg 1: Commanding Officer's Quarters	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	58723
78b	Bldg 4: Officers' Duplex	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	58266
78c	Bldg 5: Officers' Duplex	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	58270
78d	Bldg 6: Officers' Duplex	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	58267
78e	Bldg 7: Officers' Duplex	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	58271
78f	Bldg 9: Officers' Duplex	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	58272
78g	Bldg 10: Officers' Duplex	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	58268
78h	Bldg 11: Officers' Duplex	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	58269
78i	Bldg 15: Field Officers' Quarters	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	58274
78j	Bldg 16: Officers' Quadruplex	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	58275
78k	Bldg 25: War Department Theatre	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	62979
78l	Bldg 26: Balloon Hangar	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78m	Bldg 200: Administration Building	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	58459
78n	Bldg 201: Enlisted Men's Barracks	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78o	Bldg 202: Enlisted Men's Barracks	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	58463
78p	Bldg 203: Enlisted Men's Barracks	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78q	Bldg 204: Enlisted Men's Barracks	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78r	Bldg 205: Band Barracks	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78s	Bldg 223: Administration Building	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	58462
78t	Bldg 224A, B, C, & D: Battery Stoddard	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78u	Bldg 225: Enlisted Men's Barracks	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78v	Bldg 229: Alexander's Castel	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	65199
78w	Bldg 234 A & B: Battery Putnam	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78x	Bldg 270: NCO Hospital Steward Quarters	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78y	Bldg 298: Hospital	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	58460
78z	Bldg 300: Guard House	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78aa	Bldg 305: Quartermaster Office and Warehouse	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78ab	Bldg 306: Commissary and Office	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78ac	Bldg 308: Storehouse	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78ad	Bldg 310: PX and Gymnasium	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	58461
78ae	Bldg 313: Corps of Engineers Workshop	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78af	Bldg 315: Power House	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78ag	Bldg 324: Quartermaster Storehouse	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78ah	Bldg 325: NCO Duplex	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78ai	Bldg 331: NCO Duplex	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	58276
78aj	Bldg 332: NCO Duplex	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	58278

78ak	Bldg 333: NCO Duplex	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78al	Bldg 334: NCO Duplex	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78am	Bldg 335: NCO Duplex	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78an	Bldg 336: NCO Duplex	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78ao	Bldg 352: NCO Duplex	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	58277
78ap	Bldg 353: NCO Duplex	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78aq	Bldg 372: Wagon Shed and Teamsters Quarters	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78ar	Bldg 409: Ordnance Storehouse	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78as	Bldg 413: Militia Storehouse	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78at	Bldg 414: District Signal Station	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	62980
78au	Bldg 426: Primary Dorm, Gun & Observ. Crew	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78av	Bldg 427: Combined Prim Fire Control Station	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78aw	Bldg 433: Switchboard	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78ax	Bldg 484-487: Concrete Storehouses	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78ay	Bldg 490: Battery Commander's Station	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78az	Bldg 492: Searchlight Shelter	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78ba	Bldg 493 A & B: Battery Powell	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78bb	Bldg 493 C & D: Battery Brannan	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78bc	Bldg 493E: Plotting Room	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78bd	Bldg 494A & B: Battery Randol	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78be	Bldg 495A & B and 496C: Battery Quarles	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78bf	Bldg 496A & B: Battery Ash	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78bg	Bldg 497A & B: Battery Benson	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78bh	Bldg 498A, B, C, & D: Battery Tolles	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78bi	Bldg 498E: Searchlight Powerplant	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78bj	Bldg 499: Battery Walker	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78bk	Bldg 501: Artillery Engineer Cable House	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78bl	Bldg 502: Ordnance Machine Shop	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78bm	Bldg 594: Searchlight Powerplant	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78bn	Bldg 596: Searchlight Shelter	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
78bo	Bldg 598A & B: Battery Kinzie	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	132403
78bp	Bldg 599A & B: Battery Vicars	FWSP	Contributes to FWHD	
79	Point Wilson Lighthouse	FWSP	Listed in NRHP	65145
80	Port Townsend Historic District (PTHD)	Port Townsend	National Hist Landmark	674589
80a	Fowler House	Port Townsend	Contributes to PTHD	66631
80b	Rothschild House	Port Townsend	Contributes to PTHD	63226
80c	St. Paul's Episcopal Church	Port Townsend	Contributes to PTHD	66629
80d	Diamond Point Quarantine Station Bldg	Port Townsend	Contributes to PTHD	66128
80e	Downs House	Port Townsend	Contributes to PTHD	674939
80f	DeLeo House	Port Townsend	Contributes to PTHD	66430
80g	Joh Fuge Houses	Port Townsend	Contributes to PTHD	66604
80h	Starrett House	Port Townsend	Contributes to PTHD	66367
80i	James House	Port Townsend	Contributes to PTHD	66506
80j	C.C. Bartlett Building	Port Townsend	Contributes to PTHD	66125
80k	Terry Building	Port Townsend	Contributes to PTHD	66675
80l	Waterman and Katz Department	Port Townsend	Contributes to PTHD	66364

	Store			
80m	Franklin House	Port Townsend	Contributes to PTHD	66248
80n	City Hall	Port Townsend	Contributes to PTHD	66126
80o	F.W. Pettygrove Building	Port Townsend	Contributes to PTHD	2839
80p	Miller and Burkett	Port Townsend	Contributes to PTHD	66210
80q	First United Presbyterian Church	Port Townsend	Contributes to PTHD	64282
80r	Port Townsend Post Office and Customs House	Port Townsend	Contributes to PTHD	66523
80s	Manresa Hall	Port Townsend	Contributes to PTHD	65142
80t	Charles DeVoe House	Port Townsend	Contributes to PTHD	66414
80u	F.W. Hastings Residence	Port Townsend	Contributes to PTHD	66232
80v	First Bell Tower	Port Townsend	Contributes to PTHD	66737
80w	N.D. Hill Building	Port Townsend	Contributes to PTHD	66251
81	Herbert Beecher Foote Home	Port Townsend	WA Heritage Register	66146
82	Old German Consulate	Port Townsend	Listed in NRHP	66138
83	Parrish House	Port Townsend	WA Heritage Register	66200
84	Captain James McIntyre House	Port Townsend	WA Heritage Register	66506
85	Francis Wilcox James House	Port Townsend	Listed in NRHP	66544
86	Tree of Heaven	Port Townsend	WA Heritage Register	66738
87	Old Fort Townsend State Park	Old Fort Townsend State Park	WA Heritage Register	65067
88	Irondale Historic District	Irondale	Listed in NRHP	65047
89	Port Hadlock/Hadlock Bay Cultural Resources	Port Hadlock	WA State Register	65060
90	Washington Co-Op	Port Hadlock	Determined Eligible	377535
91	Portage Canal Bridge	Port Hadlock	WA Heritage Register	662747
92	Grange Store	Port Hadlock	Determined Eligible	106955
93	Bldg 69	Indian Island	Determined Eligible	622437
94	Bldg 70	Indian Island	Determined Eligible	622438
95	Fort Flagler Historic District (FFHD)	Fort Flagler State Park	Listed in NRHP	674955
95a	Bldg 7: NCO Quarters	Fort Flagler State Park	Contributes to FFHD	106568
95b	Battery Grattan	Fort Flagler State Park	Contributes to FFHD	107073
95c	Bldg 111	Fort Flagler State Park	Contributes to FFHD	74728
95d	Bldg 110: Officers' Quarters	Fort Flagler State Park	Contributes to FFHD	74733
95e	Barracks	Fort Flagler State Park	Contributes to FFHD	74735
95f	Bldg 104: Theater	Fort Flagler State Park	Contributes to FFHD	107072
95g	Bldg 8: Warehouse	Fort Flagler State Park	Contributes to FFHD	157039
95h	Bldg 39: Hospital	Fort Flagler State Park	Contributes to FFHD	74738
95i	Battery Bankhead	Fort Flagler State Park	Contributes to FFHD	74721
95j	Bldg 16	Fort Flagler State Park	Contributes to FFHD	74719
95k	Quartermaster's Warehouse	Fort Flagler State Park	Contributes to FFHD	74727
95l	Bldg 5: Hospital Steward's Quarters	Fort Flagler State Park	Contributes to FFHD	74729
95m	Bldg 29: Stables	Fort Flagler State Park	Contributes to FFHD	157048
95n	Bldg 10: Stables	Fort Flagler State Park	Contributes to FFHD	74731
95o	Hospital Admin Building	Fort Flagler State Park	Contributes to FFHD	157051
95p	Battery Wansboro	Fort Flagler State Park	Contributes to FFHD	132620
95q	Bldg 106	Fort Flagler State Park	Contributes to FFHD	671294
95r	Park Office	Fort Flagler State Park	Contributes to FFHD	107086
96	Tollef Sole House	Mystery Bay	Listed in NRHP	674970
97	Johnson House	Mystery Bay	Listed in NRHP	674972
98	624 Olympus Blvd	Southeast Mats Mats Bay	Potentially Eligible	74489
99	Zelatched Point Historic District (ZPHD)	Zelatched Point	Determined Eligible	

99a	Facility 453	Zelatched Point	Contributes to ZPHD	613138
99b	Facility 461	Zelatched Point	Contributes to ZPHD	613139
99c	Facility 469	Zelatched Point	Contributes to ZPHD	613146
99d	Facility 470	Zelatched Point	Contributes to ZPHD	613143
99e	Facility 471	Zelatched Point	Contributes to ZPHD	613141
99f	Facility 472	Zelatched Point	Contributes to ZPHD	613144
99g	Facility 475	Zelatched Point	Contributes to ZPHD	613147
99h	Facility 476	Zelatched Point	Contributes to ZPHD	613148
99i	Facility 477	Zelatched Point	Contributes to ZPHD	613137
99j	Facility 486	Zelatched Point	Contributes to ZPHD	613149
99k	Facility 805	Zelatched Point	Contributes to ZPHD	613140
100	Emel House	Scenic Beach State Park	Potentially Eligible	63229
101	Building 7400	NBK Bangor	Determined Eligible	68179
102	Building 7501	NBK Bangor	Determined Eligible	68183
103	Building 7800	NBK Bangor	Determined Eligible	613827
104	Building 7801	NBK Bangor	Determined Eligible	613828
105	Building 7044	NBK Bangor	Determined Eligible	613824
106	Kitsap Memorial Community Hall	Kitsap Memorial State Park	Potentially Eligible	63224
107	Kitsap Memorial Kitchen Shelter	Kitsap Memorial State Park	Potentially Eligible	63225
108	Agate Pass Bridge	Agate Pass	Listed in NRHP	662562
109	Moran School	East shore Bainbridge Is.	Determined Eligible	5993
110	Keyport Industrial Hist Dist (KIHD)	NBK Keyport	Determined Eligible	No Number
110a	Facility 1	NBK Keyport	Contributes to KIHD	6187
110b	Facility 12	NBK Keyport	Contributes to KIHD	679794
110c	Facility 38	NBK Keyport	Contributes to KIHD	6116
110d	Facility 40	NBK Keyport	Contributes to KIHD	67891
110e	Facility 73	NBK Keyport	Contributes to KIHD	67907
110f	Facility 74	NBK Keyport	Contributes to KIHD	67908
110g	Facility 81	NBK Keyport	Contributes to KIHD	67909
110h	Facility 84	NBK Keyport	Contributes to KIHD	6136
110i	Facility 85	NBK Keyport	Contributes to KIHD	
110j	Facility 97	NBK Keyport	Contributes to KIHD	67929
110k	Facility 98	NBK Keyport	Contributes to KIHD	67910
110l	Facility 101	NBK Keyport	Contributes to KIHD	67930
110m	Facility 105	NBK Keyport	Contributes to KIHD	67933
110n	Facility 186	NBK Keyport	Contributes to KIHD	6180
110o	Facility 233	NBK Keyport	Contributes to KIHD	6194
110p	Facility 234	NBK Keyport	Contributes to KIHD	67946
110q	Facility 440	NBK Keyport	Contributes to KIHD	
110r	Facility 825	NBK Keyport	Contributes to KIHD	67904
110s	Facility 1055	NBK Keyport	Contributes to KIHD	
110t	Facility 1074	NBK Keyport	Contributes to KIHD	
111	Keyport Residential Hist Dist (KRHD)	NBK Keyport	Determined Eligible	
111a	Facility 16	NBK Keyport	Contributes to KRHD	
111b	Facility 35	NBK Keyport	Contributes to KRHD	6113
111c	Facility 149	NBK Keyport	Contributes to KRHD	6169
111d	Facility 150	NBK Keyport	Contributes to KRHD	6170
111e	Facility 159	NBK Keyport	Contributes to KRHD	6172
111f	Facility 160	NBK Keyport	Contributes to KRHD	
111k	Quarters A	NBK Keyport	Contributes to KRHD	6084
111l	Quarters E	NBK Keyport	Contributes to KRHD	6085
111m	Quarters F	NBK Keyport	Contributes to KRHD	6086

11ln	Quarters G	NBK Keyport	Contributes to KRHD	6087
11lo	Quarters H & I	NBK Keyport	Contributes to KRHD	6088
11lp		NBK Keyport	Contributes to KRHD	6089
11lq	Quarters K	NBK Keyport	Contributes to KRHD	6090
11lr	Quarters L	NBK Keyport	Contributes to KRHD	6091
11ls	Quarters O	NBK Keyport	Contributes to KRHD	6092
11lt	Quarters P, Q, & R	NBK Keyport	Contributes to KRHD	6093
11lu	Quarters S	NBK Keyport	Contributes to KRHD	6094
11lv	Quarters T, U, & V	NBK Keyport	Contributes to KRHD	6095
112	Facility 6	NBK Keyport	Determined Eligible	
113	Pappas Apartments	Bremerton	Determined Eligible	45259
114	Schutt House	Bremerton	Determined Eligible	45260
115	Johnson House	Bremerton	Determined Eligible	45262
116	Payne House	Bremerton	Determined Eligible	45269
117	Cox House	Bremerton	Determined Eligible	45289
118	Lazar House	Bremerton	Determined Eligible	45263
119	Gavstad House	Bremerton	Determined Eligible	45264
120	Winsor House	Bremerton	Determined Eligible	45272
121	Boyd House	Bremerton	Determined Eligible	45273
122	Jensen House	Bremerton	Determined Eligible	45265
123	Sprague House	Bremerton	Determined Eligible	45266
124	Weber Apartments	Bremerton	Determined Eligible	45267
125	USHC House Type 204A	Bremerton	Determined Eligible	45286
126	USHC House Type 202	Bremerton	Determined Eligible	45288
127	USHC House Type 201A	Bremerton	Determined Eligible	45295
128	USHC House type 204A	Bremerton	Determined Eligible	45292
129	Morken House	Bremerton	Determined Eligible	45285
130	Bay Theater/Bay Bowl	Bremerton	Determined Eligible	45278
131	Bremerton Gardens	Bremerton	Determined Eligible	45280
132	Sweeney House	Bremerton	Determined Eligible	45284
133	Port Washington Narrows Bridge	Bremerton	Listed in NRHP	675452
134	Dominion Tug Boat	Bremerton	Determined Eligible	671764
135	USS Turner Joy	Bremerton	Determined Eligible	107231
136	Puget Sound Naval Shipyard NHL (PSNS)	Bremerton	Listed in NRHP	674678
136a	Facility 58	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	620696
136b	Facility 59	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	664571
136c	Facility 78	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	5567
136d	Facility 79	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	683123
136e	Facility 147	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	74372
136f	Facility 290	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	
136g	Facility 357	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	682980
136h	Facility 408	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	682983
136i	Facility 420	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	682984
136j	Facility 427	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	620278
136k	Facility 431	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	622166
136l	Facility 435	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	682995
136m	Facility 438	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	683011
136n	Facility 448	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	683011
136o	Facility 452	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	670515
136p	Facility 460	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	620277
136q	Facility 469	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	74373
136r	Facility 507	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	683054
136s	Facility 508	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	683055
136t	Facility 539	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	683057

136u	Facility 554	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	683058
136v	Facility 555	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	683061
136w	Facility 693	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	683081
136x	Facility 694	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	683082
136y	Facility 701	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	624324
136z	Facility 702	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	664548
136aa	Facility 703	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	80019
136ab	Facility 704	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	624329
136ac	Facility 705	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	624321
136ad	Facility 709	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	683011
136ae	Facility 713	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	5708
136af	Facility 714	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	623981
136ag	Facility 715	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	677668
136ah	Facility 716	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	621026
136ai	Facility 717	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	None
136aj	Facility 753	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	683128
136ak	Facility 754	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	683129
136al	Facility 755	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	683131
136am	Facility 756	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	683138
136an	Facility 761	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	683141
136ao	Facility 762	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	683142
136ap	Facility 787	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	683145
136aq	Facility 843	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	683155
136ar	Facility CRTKG	Bremerton	Contributes to PSNS	
137	Officers' Row Historic District (ORHD)	Bremerton	Listed in NRHP	674681
137a	Facility 138	Bremerton	Contributes to ORHD	
137b	Facility 615	Bremerton	Contributes to ORHD	
137c	Facility 616	Bremerton	Contributes to ORHD	
137d	Facility 617	Bremerton	Contributes to ORHD	5795
137e	Facility 618	Bremerton	Contributes to ORHD	5795
137f	Facility 619	Bremerton	Contributes to ORHD	5795
137g	Facility 620	Bremerton	Contributes to ORHD	5796
137h	Facility 621	Bremerton	Contributes to ORHD	5796
137i	Facility 622	Bremerton	Contributes to ORHD	5797
137j	Facility 623	Bremerton	Contributes to ORHD	5797
137k	Facility 624	Bremerton	Contributes to ORHD	5798
137l	Facility 625	Bremerton	Contributes to ORHD	5798
137m	Facility 626	Bremerton	Contributes to ORHD	5799
137n	Facility 627	Bremerton	Contributes to ORHD	5799
137o	Facility 628	Bremerton	Contributes to ORHD	5800
137p	Facility 629	Bremerton	Contributes to ORHD	5800
137q	Facility 630	Bremerton	Contributes to ORHD	5801
137r	Facility 631	Bremerton	Contributes to ORHD	5801
137s	Facility 632	Bremerton	Contributes to ORHD	5801
137t	Facility 633	Bremerton	Contributes to ORHD	5802
137u	Facility 634	Bremerton	Contributes to ORHD	5802
137v	Facility 635	Bremerton	Contributes to ORHD	5803
137w	Facility 636	Bremerton	Contributes to ORHD	5803
137x	Facility 637	Bremerton	Contributes to ORHD	5804
137y	Facility 638	Bremerton	Contributes to ORHD	5804
137z	Facility 639	Bremerton	Contributes to ORHD	5805
137aa	Facility 640	Bremerton	Contributes to ORHD	5806
137ab	Facility 641	Bremerton	Contributes to ORHD	5807
137ac	Facility 757	Bremerton	Contributes to ORHD	5806
137ad	Facility 772	Bremerton	Contributes to ORHD	None
138	Puget Sound Radio Station Historic District (PSRSHD)	Bremerton	Listed in NRHP	674681
138a	Facility 51	Bremerton	Contributes to PSRSHD	

138b	Facility 133	Bremerton	Contributes to PSRSHD	
138c	Facility 192	Bremerton	Contributes to PSRSHD	5680
138d	Facility 377	Bremerton	Contributes to PSRSHD	5680
138e	Facility 413	Bremerton	Contributes to PSRSHD	
138f	Facility 466	Bremerton	Contributes to PSRSHD	
138g	Facility 477	Bremerton	Contributes to PSRSHD	
138h	Facility 599	Bremerton	Contributes to PSRSHD	5676
138i	Facility 601	Bremerton	Contributes to PSRSHD	
138j	Facility 604	Bremerton	Contributes to PSRSHD	
138k	Facility 642	Bremerton	Contributes to PSRSHD	5812
138m	Facility 643	Bremerton	Contributes to PSRSHD	5812
138n	Facility 651	Bremerton	Contributes to PSRSHD	5813
138o	Facility 666	Bremerton	Contributes to PSRSHD	5694
138p	Facility 668	Bremerton	Contributes to PSRSHD	5676
139	Old Naval Hospital Historic District (ONHHD)	Bremerton	Listed in NRHP	674679
139a	Facility 443	Bremerton	Contributes to ONHHD	
139b	Facility 491	Bremerton	Contributes to ONHHD	
139c	Facility 644	Bremerton	Contributes to ONHHD	5785
139d	Facility 645	Bremerton	Contributes to ONHHD	5785
139e	Facility 646	Bremerton	Contributes to ONHHD	5786
139f	Facility 647	Bremerton	Contributes to ONHHD	5786
139g	Facility 648	Bremerton	Contributes to ONHHD	5787
139h	Facility 649	Bremerton	Contributes to ONHHD	5788
139i	Facility 650	Bremerton	Contributes to ONHHD	5788
140	Old Marine Reservation Historic District (OMRHD)	Bremerton	Listed in NRHP	674680
140a	Facility 652	Bremerton	Contributes to OMRHD	5789
140b	Facility 653	Bremerton	Contributes to OMRHD	5789
140c	Facility 654	Bremerton	Contributes to OMRHD	5790
140d	Facility 655	Bremerton	Contributes to OMRHD	5790
140e	Facility 656	Bremerton	Contributes to OMRHD	5791
140f	Facility 657	Bremerton	Contributes to OMRHD	5791
140g	Facility 658	Bremerton	Contributes to OMRHD	5792
140h	Facility 659	Bremerton	Contributes to OMRHD	5792
141	Terrace Park Apartments	Sinclair Inlet	Determined Eligible	5776
142	Waterman Barn	Sinclair Inlet east of Port Orchard	WA Heritage Register	675464
143	Fort Ward Historic District Expansion	Manchester State Park	Listed in NRHP	674590
144	Middle Point Command Post	Manchester State Park	Determined Eligible	106877
145	Torpedo Storehouse	Manchester State Park	Determined Eligible	74521
146	Facility 1	NBK Manchester	Determined Eligible	5749
147	Facility 12	NBK Manchester	Determined Eligible	5760
148	Artondale School	Gig Harbor	Listed in NRHP	675745
149	Glein House	Gig Harbor	Listed in NRHP	none
150	Eddon Boatyard Marine Railways	Gig Harbor	Determined Eligible	none
151	Eddon Boathouse	Gig Harbor	Determined Eligible	none
152	Ivanovich Netshed	Gig Harbor	Determined Eligible	617843
153	Commencement	Gig Harbor	Determined Eligible	none
154	Ancich Netshed	Gig Harbor	Determined Eligible	56050
155	Skansie Net Shed and House	Gig Harbor	Listed in NRHP	675940
156	Point Defiance Pagoda	Point Defiance	Listed NRHP	675948
157	Supervisor's Lodge	Point Defiance	WA Heritage Register	24960

158	Fort Nisqually Restoration	Point Defiance	WA Heritage Register	675698
159	Fort Nisqually Granary and Factor's House	Point Defiance	Listed in NRHP	662458
160	Camp Six	Point Defiance	Listed in NRHP	675702
160a	Handcars	Point Defiance	Contributes to Camp 6	24957
160b	Shay No. 7 Logging Locomotive	Point Defiance	Contributes to Camp 6	24956
160c	Dolbeer Donkey Engine	Point Defiance	Contributes to Camp 6	24955
160d	Loaded Log Train	Point Defiance	Contributes to Camp 6	24950
161	Salmon Beach Historic District (SBHD)	Point Defiance	WA Heritage Register	674638
161a	Structure 3	Point Defiance	Contributes to SBHD	
161b	Structure 4	Point Defiance	Contributes to SBHD	
161c	Structure 7	Point Defiance	Contributes to SBHD	
161d	Structure 8	Point Defiance	Contributes to SBHD	
161e	Structure 10	Point Defiance	Contributes to SBHD	
161f	Structure 18	Point Defiance	Contributes to SBHD	
161g	Structure 20	Point Defiance	Contributes to SBHD	
161h	Structure 21	Point Defiance	Contributes to SBHD	
161i	Structure 25	Point Defiance	Contributes to SBHD	
161j	Structure 39	Point Defiance	Contributes to SBHD	
161k	Structure 42	Point Defiance	Contributes to SBHD	
161l	Structure 43	Point Defiance	Contributes to SBHD	
161m	Structure 46	Point Defiance	Contributes to SBHD	
161n	Structure 49	Point Defiance	Contributes to SBHD	
161o	Structure 53	Point Defiance	Contributes to SBHD	
161p	Structure 58	Point Defiance	Contributes to SBHD	
161q	Structure 63	Point Defiance	Contributes to SBHD	
161r	Structure 64	Point Defiance	Contributes to SBHD	
161s	Structure 65	Point Defiance	Contributes to SBHD	
161t	Structure 66	Point Defiance	Contributes to SBHD	
161u	Structure 67	Point Defiance	Contributes to SBHD	
161v	Structure 73	Point Defiance	Contributes to SBHD	
161w	Structure 79	Point Defiance	Contributes to SBHD	
161x	Structure 85	Point Defiance	Contributes to SBHD	
161y	Structure 87	Point Defiance	Contributes to SBHD	
161z	Structure 89	Point Defiance	Contributes to SBHD	
161aa	Structure 95	Point Defiance	Contributes to SBHD	
161ab	Structure 96	Point Defiance	Contributes to SBHD	
161ac	Structure 97	Point Defiance	Contributes to SBHD	
161ad	Structure 100	Point Defiance	Contributes to SBHD	
161ae	Structure 101	Point Defiance	Contributes to SBHD	
161af	Structure 103	Point Defiance	Contributes to SBHD	
161ag	Structure 104	Point Defiance	Contributes to SBHD	
161ah	Structure 111	Point Defiance	Contributes to SBHD	
162	Bowes Building	Port of Tacoma	NRHP	
163	City Waterway Bridge	Port of Tacoma	Listed in NRHP	43054
164	XXX E F St	Port of Tacoma	Determined Eligible	
165	Albers Brothers Mill	Port of Tacoma	Listed in NRHP	24697
166	Fire Station	Port of Tacoma	Listed in NRHP	
167	Fire Station #15	Port of Tacoma	Listed in NRHP	25389

NRHP LISTED/ELIGIBLE ARCHAEOLOGICAL/ SHIPWRECK PROPERTIES IN REGION 2 TRAINING AREA

#	PROPERTY NAME	LOCATION	DATE LISTED NRHP/ DETERMINED ELGIBLE	DAHP RESOURCE ID
168	SK00021	Hope Island State Park	Potentially Eligible	648646
169	SK00007	Deception Pass State Park	Potentially Eligible	648632
170	SK00008	Deception Pass State Park	Potentially Eligible	648633
171	SK00144	Deception Pass State Park	Potentially Eligible	648762
172	SK00209	Deception Pass State Park	Potentially Eligible	639530
173	IS00090	Deception Pass State Park	Potentially Eligible	639490
174	IS00107	Deception Pass State Park	Potentially Eligible	639502
175	IS00031	Deception Pass State Park	Potentially Eligible	639431
176	IS00283	NASWI Ault Field	Potentially Eligible	652905
177	IS00041	NASWI Seaplane Base	Potentially Eligible	639441
178	IS00240	NASWI Seaplane Base	Potentially Eligible	639548
179	IS00241	NASWI Seaplane Base	Potentially Eligible	639549
180	IS00082	NASWI Seaplane Base	Determined Eligible	639482
181	IS00204	NASWI Seaplane Base	Potentially Eligible	639526
182	IS00081	NASWI Seaplane Base	Potentially Eligible	639481
183	IS000237	NASWI Seaplane Base	Potentially Eligible	639546
184	IS00002	Cama Beach	Listed in NRHP	639402
185	IS00210	Seaplane Base	Potentially Eligible	639531
186	IS00285	Seaplane Base	Potentially Eligible	653367
187	IS00323	Seaplane Base	Potentially Eligible	659549
188	IS00324	Ault Field	Potentially Eligible	649550
189	IS00336	Seaplane Base	Potentially Eligible	660728
190	SK00509	Deception Pass	Potentially Eligible	659084

NRHP LISTED/ELIGIBLE ARCHITECTURAL PROPERTIES IN REGION 2 TRAINING AREA

#	PROPERTY NAME	LOCATION	DATE LISTED NRHP/ DETERMINED ELGIBLE	DAHP RESOURCE ID
191	Deception Pass Bridge	Deception Pass	Listed in NRHP	8329
192	Deception Pass State Park Historic District (DPSPHD)	Deception Pass State Park	Determined Eligible	674889
192a	Latrine (Cranberry Lake)	Deception Pass State	Contributes to DPSPHD	

	Bathing)	Park		
192b	Combination Building (Cranberry Lake Bathing)	Deception Pass State Park		Contributes to DPSPHD
192c	Shelter Kitchen (Cranberry Lake Bathing)	Deception Pass State Park		Contributes to DPSPHD
192d	Campstove Shelter Bldg (Cranberry Lake Bathing)	Deception Pass State Park		Contributes to DPSPHD
192e	Pump House (Cranberry Lake Bathing)	Deception Pass State Park		Contributes to DPSPHD
192f	Comfort Station (Cranberry Lake Bathing)	Deception Pass State Park		Contributes to DPSPHD
192g	Caretaker's Residence (Cranberry Lake Cartaker's)	Deception Pass State Park		Contributes to DPSPHD
192h	Caretaker's Shop & Garage (Cranberry Lake Cartaker's)	Deception Pass State Park		Contributes to DPSPHD
192i	Maintenance Shop (Cranberry Lake Cartaker's)	Deception Pass State Park		Contributes to DPSPHD
192j	Fire Circle (Cornet Bay Picnic Area)	Deception Pass State Park		Contributes to DPSPHD
192k	Incinerator (Cornet Bay Picnic Area)	Deception Pass State Park		Contributes to DPSPHD
192l	Drinking Fountain (Cornet Bay Picnic Area)	Deception Pass State Park		Contributes to DPSPHD
192m	Community Kitchen (North Beach Picnic Area)	Deception Pass State Park		Contributes to DPSPHD
192n	Shelter Kitchen (North Beach Picnic Area)	Deception Pass State Park		Contributes to DPSPHD
192o	Campstove Shelter (North Beach Picnic Area)	Deception Pass State Park		Contributes to DPSPHD
192p	Latrine (North Beach Picnic Area)	Deception Pass State Park		Contributes to DPSPHD
192q	Caretaker's Residence (Bowman Bay Bathing)	Deception Pass State Park		Contributes to DPSPHD
192r	Caretaker's Shop & Garage (Bowman Bay Bathing)	Deception Pass State Park		Contributes to DPSPHD
192s	Barn (Bowman Bay Bathing)	Deception Pass State Park		Contributes to DPSPHD
192t	Bath House (Bowman Bay Bathing)	Deception Pass State Park		Contributes to DPSPHD
192u	Community Kitchen (Bowman Bay Bathing)	Deception Pass State Park		Contributes to DPSPHD
192v	Combination Building (Bowman Bay Bathing)	Deception Pass State Park		Contributes to DPSPHD
192w	Latrine (Bowman Bay Bathing)	Deception Pass State Park		Contributes to DPSPHD
192x	Campstove Shelter (Bowman Bay Bathing)	Deception Pass State Park		Contributes to DPSPHD
192y	Drinking Fountain (Bowman Bay Bathing)	Deception Pass State Park		Contributes to DPSPHD
192z	Pump House (Bowman Bay Bathing)	Deception Pass State Park		Contributes to DPSPHD
192aa	Bath House (Bowman Bay Bathing)	Deception Pass State Park		Contributes to DPSPHD
192ab	Entrance Piers	Deception Pass State Park		Contributes to DPSPHD
192ac	Pedestrian Underpass (Highway 20)	Deception Pass State Park		Contributes to DPSPHD
192ad	Log and Stone Guardrails (Highway 20)	Deception Pass State Park		Contributes to DPSPHD
192ae	Deception Pass Bridge	Deception Pass State Park		Contributes to DPSPHD
				676025

192af	Canoe Pass Bridge	Deception Pass State Park	Contributes to DPSPHD	44335
193	Facility 118	NASWI Ault Field	Determined Eligible	57764
194	Facility 386	NASWI Ault Field	Determined Eligible	41581
195	Facility 410	NASWI Ault Field	Determined Eligible	15-00041
196	Facility 960	NASWI Ault Field	Determined Eligible	57724
197	Facility 2700	NASWI Ault Field	Determined Eligible	67797
198	Seaplane Base Historic District (SBHD)	NASWI Seaplane Base	Determined Eligible	
198a	Facility 12	NASWI Seaplane Base	Contributes to SBHD	57765
198b	Facility 13	NASWI Seaplane Base	Contributes to SBHD	57794
198c	Facility 14	NASWI Seaplane Base	Contributes to SBHD	57766
198d	Facility 16	NASWI Seaplane Base	Contributes to SBHD	57795
198e	Facility 17	NASWI Seaplane Base	Contributes to SBHD	57796
198f	Facility 18	NASWI Seaplane Base	Contributes to SBHD	57797
198g	Facility 20	NASWI Seaplane Base	Contributes to SBHD	57767
198h	Facility 22	NASWI Seaplane Base	Contributes to SBHD	57800
198i	Facility 26	NASWI Seaplane Base	Contributes to SBHD	57768
198j	Facility 27	NASWI Seaplane Base	Contributes to SBHD	57769
198k	Facility 33	NASWI Seaplane Base	Contributes to SBHD	57801
198l	Facility 34	NASWI Seaplane Base	Contributes to SBHD	57802
198m	Facility 49	NASWI Seaplane Base	Contributes to SBHD	57770
198n	Facility 60	NASWI Seaplane Base	Contributes to SBHD	57804
198o	Facility 62	NASWI Seaplane Base	Contributes to SBHD	57805
198p	Facility 81	NASWI Seaplane Base	Contributes to SBHD	57807
198q	Facility 87	NASWI Seaplane Base	Contributes to SBHD	57772
198r	Facility 94	NASWI Seaplane Base	Contributes to SBHD	57811
198s	Facility 98/215	NASWI Seaplane Base	Contributes to SBHD	57812
198t	Facility 613	NASWI Seaplane Base	Contributes to SBHD	57777
198u	Facility 800	NASWI Seaplane Base	Contributes to SBHD	26
198v	Facility 802	NASWI Seaplane Base	Contributes to SBHD	73
198w	Facility 2588-2599	NASWI Seaplane Base	Contributes to SBHD	67863
199	Victory Homes Historic District	NASWI Seaplane Base	Contributes to SBHD	
199a	Facility 613 & 614	NASWI Seaplane Base	Contributes to SBHD	57821
200	Fort Casey	Fort Casey State Park	Listed in NRHP	639500
201	Camano City Schoolhouse	Camano Island	WA Heritage Register	

**NRHP LISTED/ELIGIBLE ARCHEOLOGICAL AND SHIPWRECKS PROPERTIES IN REGION 3
TRAINING AREA**

#	PROPERTY NAME	LOCATION	DATE LISTED NRHP/ DETERMINED ELGIBLE	DAHP RESOURCE ID
202	PC00118	Cape Disappointment State Park	Potentially Eligible	646757
203	PC00220	Cape Disappointment State Park	Potentially Eligible	659089
204	PC00113	Cape Disappointment State Park	Potentially Eligible	646752
205	PC00114	Cape Disappointment State Park	Potentially Eligible	646753
206	PC00120	Cape Disappointment State Park	Potentially Eligible	646759
207	<i>Rosecrans (Shipwreck)</i>	Cape Disappointment	Unevaluated	No Number
208	<i>Unknown (Shipwreck)</i>	Cape Disappointment	Unevaluated	No Number

209	<i>Unknown</i> (Shipwreck)	Cape Disappointment	Unevaluated	No Number
210	<i>Admiral Benson</i> (Shipwreck)	Cape Disappointment	Unevaluated	No Number
211	<i>Bette M</i> (Shipwreck)	Cape Disappointment	Unevaluated	No Number
212	PC00112	Cape Disappointment	Potentially Eligible	646751
213	PC00131	Grayland	Potentially Eligible	646770

NRHP LISTED/ELIGIBLE ARCHITECTURAL PROPERTIES IN REGION 3 TRAINING AREA

#	PROPERTY NAME	LOCATION	DATE LISTED NRHP/ DETERMINED ELGIBLE	DAHP RESOURCE ID
214	Greys Harbor Light Station	Westport Light State Park	Listed in NRHP	674813
215	Cape Disappointment Historic District (CDHD)	Cape Disappointment State Park	Listed in NRHP	674637
215a	Fort Canby Searchlight 5	Cape Disappointment State Park	Contributes to CDHD	53975
215b	Fort Canby Searchlight 6	Cape Disappointment State Park	Contributes to CDHD	53976
215c	North Head Base End Station Complex	Cape Disappointment State Park	Contributes to CDHD	53977
215d	North Head Base End Station Powerhouse	Cape Disappointment State Park	Contributes to CDHD	53978
215e	North Head Lighthouse Keeper's House	Cape Disappointment State Park	Contributes to CDHD	57982
215f	North Head Lighthouse	Cape Disappointment State Park	Contributes to CDHD	626856
216	Chinook Point (CP)	Fort Columbia State Park (FCSP)	Listed in NRHP	675678
216a	Building 7	FCSP	Contributes to CP	4357909
216b	Building 6: NCO Duplex	FCSP	Contributes to CP	435789
216c	Building 5: Scarborough House	FCSP	Contributes to CP	435787
216d	Building 11: NCO Duplex	FCSP	Contributes to CP	618813
216e	Building 10: Steward's House	FCSP	Contributes to CP	618814
216f	Building 1: Interpretive Center	FCSP	Contributes to CP	613381
216g	Building 2: Admin Building	FCSP	Contributes to CP	613382
216h	Commanding Officer's Quarters	FCSP	Contributes to CP	50191

Enclosure 3: Buildings Proposed for Simulated Building Clearance Training Activities

Installation/ Location	Bldg #	Building Name	NRHP Status	SHPO Concurrence Date	DAHP Project Tracking Code
NBK Keyport	957	Storage Disposal Fac.	Not Eligible	20 March 2018	2018-03- 01684
NBK Keyport	1017	Covered Mat. Storage	Not Eligible	20 March 2018	2018-03- 01684
NBK Keyport	1018	Truck Loading Platform	Not Eligible	20 March 2018	2018-03- 01684
NAVMAG Indian Is.	832	Ammunition Wharf	Not Eligible	27 March 2018	2018-03- 01683
NAVMAG Indian Is.	833	Waterfront Ops Bldg	Not Eligible	27 March 2018	2018-03- 01683
NAVMAG Indian Is.	834	Blockers & Bracers Shop	Not Eligible	27 March 2018	2018-03- 01683
NAVMAG Indian Is.	910	Gasoline Storage Fac	Not Eligible	27 March 2018	2018-03- 01683
NAVMAG Indian Is.	986	Pier Cargo Staging Area	Not Eligible	27 March 2018	2018-03- 01683
NBK Bangor	7070	MSF Storage Shed	Not Eligible	13 March 2013	031313-13- USN
NBK Bangor	7079	Cable Storage Shed	Not Eligible	13 March 2013	031313-13- USN
Chambers	n/a	Warehouse	Not Eligible	5 July 2018	2017-05- 03022
Port Anacortes	n/a	Transit Shed	Not Eligible	5 July 2018	2017-05- 03022
Seabeck	n/a	Seabeck Elementary School	Not Eligible	5 July 2018	2017-05- 03022



Cultural Sensitivity Training


Naval Special Operations Training in Western Washington

February 2018

Naval Special Operations Training Western Washington



- **Training occurs at multiple locations on federal, state, local government, and privately owned land**
 - **Cultural Resources**
 - Archaeological Sites
 - Architectural Resources
 - Alaska Native Traditional Resources
 - **Natural Resources**
 - Marine
 - Terrestrial
- Light**
Historic Preservation Office
- in** Historic Preservation (ACHP)
Life Service (USFWS)
- National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS)



Potential Adverse Effects to Cultural Resources



- **Physical Disturbance to Archaeological Sites and Washington Native Properties of Cultural, Religious, and Traditional Importance (PCRTI)**
 - Increased access to areas during navigation activities resulting in inadvertent or intentional disturbance
 - Disturbance of eroding shell middens during over-the-beach activities
 - Disturbance of burial sites during training activities
 - Collection of an artifact from an archaeological site is a FELONY
- **Physical Disturbance to Architectural Resources**
 - Modification or alteration of existing structures
 - Inadvertent or intentional disturbance of such structures




3

READINESS – PERFORMANCE - SUSTAINABILITY

Cultural Resources Best Management Practices



- **Avoid all eroding shell middens.**
- **Do not dig below ground surface**
- **Do not collect any prehistoric or historic artifacts**
- **Do not leave any graffiti in or on architectural resources**
- **Strictly adhere to the “leave no trace” training standard**
- **Support vehicles to stay on established roads to avoid damage to archaeological sites (even to turn around).**



4

READINESS – PERFORMANCE - SUSTAINABILITY

What To Do



Strictly adhere to the “leave no trace” training standard

In the event that a culturally significant item or archaeological site is believed to be found, the Safety Supervisor shall be notified, the item shall be left in place, and the site shall be left undisturbed.

The Safety Supervisor will photograph the item or site, record the location, and forward the information to the NAVFAC NW Archaeologist.

- Contact Archeologist Susan S. Hughes (360)396-0083, susan.s.hughes@navy.mil or David Grant (360)396-0919, dave.m.grant@navy.mil in the event that a culturally significant item or archaeological site is believed to be found

5

READINESS – PERFORMANCE - SUSTAINABILITY

Naval Special Operations Training Western Washington



BACKUP SLIDES

6

READINESS – PERFORMANCE - SUSTAINABILITY

Archaeological Sites



- Over 260 recorded prehistoric and historic archaeological sites within the Training Areas
- Prehistoric Sites- coastal and riverine areas
 - Prehistoric coastal villages (house pits and depressions)
 - Shell middens
 - Burials
 - Petroglyphs
 - Isolated finds (i.e. projectile points)
- Prehistoric Sites- coastal and inland areas
 - Stone quarries
 - Trails
 - Cave sites
 - Burials
- Historic Sites
 - House depressions and foundations
 - Pilings
 - Cemeteries and burials
 - Shipwrecks
 - Concrete pads and piers



7

READINESS – PERFORMANCE - SUSTAINABILITY

Architectural Resources



- Over 3,100 architectural resources in the Training Areas
- Three (3) National Historic Landmark (NHL) composed of multiple properties
- 22 Officially Listed in National Register of Historic Places, many composed of multiple properties
- Military facilities
 - Pre-World War II Era Properties such as coastal defense
 - World War II Era Properties such as Seaplane Base, NASWI
 - Cold War Era Properties such as Delta Pier on NBK Bangor
- Non-military resources such as
 - Residential buildings
 - Churches
 - School complexes
 - Barns
 - Docks



8

READINESS – PERFORMANCE - SUSTAINABILITY

Native Resources



- **Properties of Traditional, Religious or Cultural Importance (PTRCI)**
 - Archaeological sites and artifacts
 - Locations of historic and contemporary events
 - Native sacred areas
 - Landscapes
 - Sources of raw materials used to produce tools and sacred objects
 - Traditional use areas (e.g., fishing areas, Native plant gathering areas, or wildlife habitat)

Enclosure 5: Inadvertent Discovery Plan

NAVAL SPECIAL OPERATIONS TRAINING IN WESTERN WASHINGTON INADVERTENT DISCOVERY OF POTENTIALLY ELIGIBLE CULTURAL RESOURCES AND HUMAN REMAINS

In the event of an inadvertent discovery of potentially National Register of Historic Properties (NRHP) eligible cultural resources or Native American human remains during training exercises, training will be re-directed to avoid the discovery. The Navy's on-site Safety Supervisor will ensure that the discovery area is secured in such a way as to prevent trainees or other persons from trespassing on, removing, or otherwise disturbing such remains, and will immediately notify the NAVFAC NW cultural resource staff in the event of the discovery. Training at the site will be discontinued until a professional archaeologist can thoroughly document and sample the discovered cultural material, upon receiving property owner's permission if discovery is off military lands, for the purposes of evaluating its significance. If further impacts to the NRHP eligible site cannot be avoided, then NAVFAC NW cultural resources staff will consult with the DAHP, affected Tribes, the property owner, and interested parties regarding the disposition and treatment of the site. NAVFAC NW cultural resource staff will coordinate the final disposition of the discovery, and training will not resume on that location until NAVFAC NW cultural resources staff have determined that compliance with federal laws is complete.

In the event that human remains are inadvertently discovered, the Navy on-site Safety Supervisor will immediately redirect training to another location. The discovery area shall be secured from further disturbance and the remains shielded from public view. The on-site Safety Supervisor will immediately notify local law enforcement professionals and NAVFAC NW cultural resources staff of the discovery. Law enforcement officials will determine if the remains represent those of a crime scene. Training will not continue until the crime scene investigation is complete and officials approve the resumption of

training activities. If the discovery is determined not to be a crime scene, Navy cultural resources staff will immediately contact the DAHP, the affected Tribes, Agency Official, and the appropriate property owner pursuant to the Native Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA; 45 CFR 10.3). No remains will be removed from their place of discovery until appropriate disposition and treatment procedures are developed in consultation with all concerned parties pursuant to the NAGPRA and OPNAV 11170.2A. Throughout the process, the remains will be treated with care and respect at all times, and will not be photographed. Training may resume at this location 30 days from the date that the Navy agency official was contacted, and only after compliance with all federal laws is complete. If the human remains are to remain on site, the site will no longer be available for future training exercises.

CONTACT LIST

Training Region 1

Dr. Susan Hughes	NAVFAC NW Staff Archaeologist	360-396-0083
David Grant	NAVFAC NW Staff Archaeologist	360-396-0919
Katherine Pollock	NBK Cultural Program Archaeologist	360-315-1162
Dr. Rob Whitlam	WA State Archaeologist (DAHP)	360-586-3080
Dr. Guy Tasa	WA State Physical Anthropologist	360-586-3534

Training Region 2

Kendall Campbell	NAS Whidbey Island Cultural Program Archaeologist	360-257-6780
Dr. Susan Hughes	NAVFAC NW Staff Archaeologist	360-396-0083
David Grant	NAVFAC NW Staff Archaeologist	360-396-0919
Katherine Pollock	NBK Cultural Program Archaeologist	360-315-1162
Dr. Rob Whitlam	WA State Archaeologist (DAHP)	360-586-3080
Dr. Guy Tasa	WA State Physical Anthropologist	360-586-3534

Training Region 3

Dr. Susan Hughes	NAVFAC NW Staff Archaeologist	360-396-0083
David Grant	NAVFAC NW Staff Archaeologist	360-396-0919
Dr. Rob Whitlam	WA State Archaeologist (DAHP)	360-586-3080
Dr. Guy Tasa	WA State Physical Anthropologist	360-586-3534



Allyson Brooks Ph.D., Director
State Historic Preservation Officer

November 20, 2018

Mr. T.H. Lazo, P.E., Director
Navy Environmental Programs
US Dept. of the Navy
NAVFAC Northwest
1101 Tautog Circle
Silverdale, WA 98315-1101

In future correspondence please refer to:
Project Tracking Code: 2017-05-03022
Property: Multiple Publicly-Owned Locations in the Puget Sound Basin
Re: Navy Special Operations Training (Navy SEALs)

Dear Mr. Lazo:

Thank you for contacting the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) and Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation (DAHP) regarding the above referenced proposal. This action has been reviewed on behalf of the SHPO under provisions of Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (as amended) and 36 CFR Part 800. Our review is based upon documentation contained in your communication.

In response, at this time we are not able to concur with your determination that No Historic Properties will be Adversely Effected by the proposed Naval Special Operations Training in Western Washington and instead request additional information about the training program. The additional information is requested in order to address the following concerns and comments:

- 1) In order to address our concerns that archaeological sites in the proposal's area of potential effect (APE) have been identified and will be avoided, please provide maps of the proposed training areas so that DAHP can research known archaeological sites within or in proximity to these areas where the training will be conducted.
- 2) Your letter states that "Naval Special Training will avoid eroding archaeological sites and known burials." There is concern about possible affects from training exercises to other archaeological resources. Therefore, please provide us with protocols for avoidance of damaging all cultural resource types during training activities.
- 3) Your letter states that "Prior to training activities, NAVFAC NW cultural resources staff will be consulted to determine whether there may be archaeological sites or burials within the proposed training event area." Please provide the SHPO with documentation that the "NAVFAC NW cultural resources staff" making these determinations are qualified professional archaeologists.




Mr. T.H. Lazo
November 20, 2018
Page Two

- 4) Your letter also states that "Cultural Resources Sensitivity Training will be made part of the in-class portion of all training." Please provide the SHPO with the in-class training material in order for DAHP to review and provide comments.
- 5) Our review of the IDP (Enclosure 5 to your letter of 10/18/18) reveals that the plan does not take into account state law as it applies to non-federal and non-tribal lands (State, local, or private) but only assumes that all inadvertent human skeletal remains will be handled under the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA). Therefore, the IDP should be revised to address human skeletal remains found on non-federal and non-reservation lands following the process outlined in RCWs 68.50.644, 68.60.055, and 27.44.055. Also, State law requires notification to the respective county coroner/medical examiner. Our recommended language for the IDP for finds on non-federal and non-reservation lands in Washington state can be found on our website at the following link: <https://dahp.wa.gov/archaeology/human-remains/recommended-inadvertent-human-remains-discovery-language>. Once the IDP is revised according to our recommendations, please re-submit to the SHPO for review.

Finally, please note that in order to streamline our responses, DAHP requires that Resource documentation (HPI, Archaeology sites, TCP) and reports be submitted electronically. Correspondence must be emailed in PDF format to the appropriate compliance email address. For more information about how to submit documents to DAHP please visit: <https://dahp.wa.gov/project-review>. To assist you in conducting a cultural resource survey and inventory effort, DAHP has developed Guidelines for Cultural Resources Reporting. You can view or download a copy from our website.

Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment. Please ensure that the DAHP Project Number (a.k.a. Project Tracking Code) is shared with any hired cultural resource consultants and is attached to any communications or submitted reports. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at 360-586-3073 or greg.griffith@dahp.wa.gov.

Sincerely,



Gregory Griffith
Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer

C: Jim Baumgart, Governor's Office





DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND NORTHWEST
1101 TAUTOG CIRCLE
SILVERDALE, WASHINGTON 98315-1101

5090
Ser N45/18-686
19 Dec 2018

Allyson Brooks, PhD
State Historic Preservation Officer
Washington Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation
P.O. Box 48343
Olympia, WA 98504-8343

SUBJECT: LOG NO. 2017-05-03022-USN: CONTINUING SECTION 106
CONSULTATION ON FINDING OF NO ADVERSE EFFECTS TO
HISTORIC PROPERTIES, NAVAL SPECIAL OPERATIONS TRAINING
IN WESTERN WASHINGTON

Dear Dr. Brooks:

Thank you for your letter dated November 20, 2018 requesting further information on Naval Special Operations Training in Western Washington. The Navy is continuing consultation on the proposed training and requests your concurrence on the finding of No Historic Properties Adversely Effected. Per your request for additional information about the training program, we offer the following for your consideration.

1) You requested maps of the proposed training areas to research known archaeological sites within or in proximity to the areas where training will be conducted. Enclosure 1 provides maps of proposed training areas with sites indicated. If you require further clarification, please refer to DAHP Project No.: 2017-05-03022 in WISAARD. This illustrates the APE as concurred on by the Washington State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) (February 8, 2018) along with a tabulation of sites that fall within the APE.

2) You requested protocols for avoidance of damaging all cultural resources types during training activities. The Navy determined that the only training activities that have the potential to affect historic properties are the Simulated Building Clearance Training Activities, Special Reconnaissance Training Activities, and Over-The-Beach Training Activities as discussed with the Deputy SHPO during a telephone conversation of November 9, 2015. Since that conversation, High-Angle

5090
Ser N45/18-686
19 Dec 2018

Climbing Training Activities was added to the list of training activities. A description of each type of training activity that has the potential to affect historic properties follows.

a) Simulated Building Clearance Training Activities will only occur in the buildings identified in Enclosure 3 of the Navy's October 18, 2018 Finding of Effects letter. All of these buildings were previously determined not eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) with SHPO concurrence (July 5, 2018).

b) High-Angle Climbing Training Activities will only occur at designated climbing areas within Deception Pass State Park. This is an established climbing area designated by Washington State Parks and existing climbing aids will be used. There are no known historic properties associated with this climbing area. In the event that unknown historic properties are encountered during training exercises, training will stop and the enclosed Inadvertent Discovery Plan (IDP) will be implemented (Enclosure 3). Training activities within state parks are authorized under state park issued use permits.

c) Special Reconnaissance Training Activities, and Over-The-Beach Training Activities have the potential to effect eroding archaeological sites and burials. Based on pre-training exercise review by NAVFAC NW Secretary of the Interior qualified archaeologists, any known archaeological sites and burials will be avoided. In the event that unknown archaeological sites or burials are encountered during the training exercises, training will stop and the enclosed IDP will be implemented (Enclosure 3).

3) You requested documentation that the NAVFAC NW cultural resources staff making determinations of archaeological sites are qualified professional archaeologists. The cultural resources staff that meet the Secretary of the Interior's qualifications as archaeologists will review proposed training activities to assist in avoiding archaeological sites. At present, NAVFAC NW archaeologists that may perform this task include: Kendall Campbell (for NASWI managed properties), David Grant, and Susan Hughes, PhD.


5090
Ser N45/18-686
19 Dec 2018

4) At your request, the in-class training material that will be used for "Cultural Resources Sensitivity Training" is included as Enclosure 2 of this letter. The material was also provided as Enclosure 4 of the Navy's Finding of Effect letter dated October 18, 2018.

5) Upon your recommendation to revise the Inadvertent Discovery Plan (IDP) to address human skeletal remains found on non-federal and non-reservation lands following the process outlined in RCWs 68.50.644, 68.60.055, and 27.44.055, as well as the state requirement to notify the respective county coroner/medical examiner, the IDP has been amended to address these concerns (see Enclosure 3).

Again thank you for the information and review you have provided. The Navy requests your concurrence with the finding of No Historic Properties Adversely Effected by the proposed Naval Special Operations Training in Western Washington. If you require additional information, please contact Mr. Russell Sackett, NAVFAC NW Historical Architect at (360) 396-0927 or russell.h.sackett1@navy.mil.

Sincerely,



T. H. LAZO, P.E.
Navy Environmental Program Director,
Northwest Region
By Direction of the Commanding Officer

Enclosures: 1. Sites in Area of Potential Effects
2. Inadvertent Discovery Plan
3. Cultural Resources Sensitivity Training material



Allyson Brooks Ph.D., Director
State Historic Preservation Officer

January 15, 2019

Mr. T. H. Lazo, P.E.
Navy Environmental Program Director
NAVFAC NW
1101 Tautog Circle
Silverdale, WA 98315

In future correspondence please refer to:

Project Tracking Code: 111215-15-USN/2017-05-03022

Re: Navy Special Operations Training (Navy SEALs)

Dear Mr. Lazo:

Thank you for your letter of December 19, 2018 to the Washington State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO). Your letter is in response to the Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation (DAHP) communication of November 20, 2018 with questions and request for additional information about potential effects on historic properties of the Navy Special Operations Training (Navy SEALs) at selected shoreline locations in the Puget Sound basin.

In response, our opinion is that the information provided in your letter in paragraphs 1 through 5 answers our questions and responds to our concerns and recommendations. However, before concurring with your "no adverse effect" on historic properties determination for the training operation, we request execution of a programmatic agreement (PA) with the SHPO regarding implementation of the training activities and the review and clearance of proposed training areas to avoid archaeological resources. The PA should be a concise document that includes the following elements:

- 1) Definition of the Navy SEALs training activities covered in the PA (reference paragraphs 2 (a)-(c) in your letter of 12/19/18). Note: in regard to 2(a) (Simulated Building Clearance Training Activities) we understand that all of the buildings identified as being used for this training activity have been determined not eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. However, we recommend that the PA includes a process for notification, review, and evaluation of buildings, structures, sites, objects, districts, and landscapes that may be added as the training program is implemented.
- 2) States that qualified cultural professionals meeting Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualifications standards will review all proposed training activities and areas in order to avoid affecting archaeological sites.
- 3) Details a process for notifying the SHPO when the review and clearance of training activity areas takes place by qualified professionals and the results of the review.



Mr. T.H. Lazo
January 15, 2019
Page Two

We look forward to receiving a draft PA to review and provide comments.

The above comments are based on the information available at the time of this review and on behalf of the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) in conformance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and its implementing regulations 36 CFR 800. Also, we appreciate receiving copies of any correspondence or comments from concerned tribes and other parties that you receive as you consult under the requirements of 36 CFR 800.4(a)(4). Should additional information become available, our assessment may be revised.

Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment. Please ensure that the DAHP Project Number (a.k.a. Project Tracking Code) is shared with any hired cultural resource consultants and is attached to any communications or submitted reports. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,



Gregory Griffith
Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer
(360) 586-3073
greg.griffith@dahp.wa.gov





DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND NORTHWEST
1101 TAUTOG CIRCLE
SILVERDALE, WASHINGTON 98315-1101

5090
Ser N45/19-0062
26 Feb 2019

Allyson Brooks, PhD
State Historic Preservation Officer
Washington Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation
P.O. Box 48343
Olympia, WA 98504-8343

SUBJECT: LOG NO. 2017-05-03022-USN: CONTINUING SECTION 106
CONSULTATION ON FINDING OF NO ADVERSE EFFECTS TO
HISTORIC PROPERTIES, NAVAL SPECIAL OPERATIONS TRAINING
IN WESTERN WASHINGTON

Dear Dr. Brooks:

Thank you for your letter dated January 15, 2019 providing conditional concurrence with the Navy's determination that no historic properties will be adversely affected by proposed Naval Special Operations Training in Western Washington. This letter provides additional discussion of the undertaking to address the three elements discussed in your letter and further clarification of the proposed undertaking. In summary, the Navy has applied the criteria of adverse effect, considered reasonably foreseeable effects, and found that the undertaking as defined fully qualifies for a determination of no adverse effect with conditions, consistent with 36 CFR 800.5(b), and does not require a programmatic agreement (PA).

Your letter dated January 15, 2019 identifies three elements warranting further discussion. Although a PA is not required, we have addressed the three elements from your January 15, 2019 letter as follows. The first pertains to the possibility that additional historic properties may be added to the training program. The training is strictly constrained to only the undertaking that the Navy has proposed. The Navy recognizes and agrees that if the area of potential effect (APE) changes, additional consultation will be necessary per 36 CFR 800.5(d)(1). Similarly, the Navy remains cognizant of the possibility that new information could introduce new historic properties into the APE or could become available about recorded historic properties, and we remain committed to addressing this

information consistent with our standing responsibilities under the statute and regulations. In order to ensure the Navy has the most current information, the Navy commits to conducting a historic property review of all candidate properties at the pursuit and renewal of the real estate agreements required for access to non-Navy land such as state, county, or municipal parks and private properties. These agreements typically cover a period of five years, and each renewal will include a historic property review. The specifics of this review will also address the second element of your letter and are provided below.

The second element requests the Navy's commitment that the undertaking will be reviewed by qualified professionals. Navy Region Northwest employs several qualified professional archaeologists, who have worked with your office for many years. The Navy continually ensures that all Navy Region Northwest undertakings, including actions associated with the subject undertaking, are reviewed by qualified professionals. Specifically, for this undertaking, a Secretary-of-Interior qualified archaeologist will review the archaeological database of the Washington Information System for Architectural and Archaeological Records Data (WISAARD) for the presence of recorded archaeological sites in or near properties that are subject of proposed or renewed real estate agreements. If identified, the archaeologist will review the site form to determine if the site could reasonably be adversely affected by the training. The proposed Navy undertaking involves trainees learning the skills needed to avoid detection along with the goal of leaving no trace of their presence during or after training activities. This requires creating no trails and avoiding all incidental surface disturbance. For example, trainees would need to avoid an eroding bank, because it would leave physical evidence of the training activity. As a result, leaving archaeological sites undisturbed is in alignment with the proposed undertaking.

The third element in your letter requests a process by which your office will be notified of the review and clearance of training areas, for purposes of historic preservation. In light of operational security requirements and related concerns about sensitive information such as confidential property owner participation, the Navy is confident it can work with you and your staff to develop appropriate means to convey the results of its professional oversight of the undertaking.

Notably, 36 CFR 800.5(b) defines the basis of a finding of no adverse effects with conditions. The Navy recognizes and commits to the following conditions: 1) reopening consultation per 36 CFR 800.5(d)(1) if necessitated by a change in the undertaking; 2) qualified review of new and renewed real estate agreements for new information such as the presence of eroding archaeological deposits or features; 3) implementation of the Inadvertent Discovery Plan; 4) providing sensitivity training; and 5) periodically confirming to your staff adverse effects are being avoided.

The Navy has consulted to define the APE and to consider all historic properties potentially affected. Based on feedback received in consultation, we have refined the undertaking so as to avoid effects to known historic properties. We are committed to ensuring that any post-review discoveries are addressed in compliance with 36 CFR 800.13.

Again thank you and your staff for your thorough consideration. The Navy requests your concurrence with our determination that no historic properties will be adversely affected by the Naval Special Operations Training in Western Washington. If you require additional information, please contact Mr. David Grant, NAVFAC NW Archaeologist at (360) 396-0919 or dave.m.grant@navy.mil.

Sincerely,



T. H. LAZO, P.E.
Navy Environmental Program Director,
Northwest Region
By Direction of the Commanding Officer



Allyson Brooks Ph.D., Director
State Historic Preservation Officer

March 28, 2019

Ms. T.H. Lazo, P.E., Director
Navy Environmental Program
US Dept. of the Navy
NAVFAC NW
1101 Tautog Circle
Silverdale, WA 98315-1101

In future correspondence please refer to:
Project Tracking Code: 2017-05-03022
Re: Naval Special Operations Training in Western Washington State

Dear Ms. Lazo:

Thank you for your letter of 2/26/19 to the State Historic Preservation Officer regarding the above referenced proposal and responding to our comment letter of 1/15/19 of your finding of No Adverse Effect to historic properties by the Naval Special Operations Training in western Washington program.

As a result of our review, we acknowledge and concur with the five conditions described on page 3 of your letter. However, we request that these conditions be incorporated into a brief and succinct memorandum of agreement (MOA) to be executed by the SHPO and the Navy to ensure that these precautionary steps are taken if and when needed over the timespan of the training programs. As stated in your letter, the conditions to be incorporated in the MOA shall include the Navy committing to the following actions:

1. Reopening of consultation per 36 CFR 800.5(d)(1) if necessitated by a change in the undertaking;
2. A review by a qualified Cultural Resource professional as defined in 36 CFR Part 61 of new and renewed real estate agreements for new information;
3. Implementation of the Inadvertent Discovery Plan (IDP), to be attached to the MOA as an appendix;
4. Providing sensitivity to cultural resources training to all participants in the program, and;
5. Submittal of an annual report to the SHPO confirming that adverse effects by the program are being avoided.

We look forward to receiving a draft MOA for our review and comment. Also, we appreciate receiving copies of any correspondence or comments from concerned tribes and other parties that you receive as you consult under the requirements of 36 CFR 800.4(a)(4).



Ms. T.H. Lazo
March 28, 2019
Page Two

Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment. Please ensure that the DAHP Project Number (a.k.a. Project Tracking Code) is shared with any hired cultural resource consultants and is attached to any communications or submitted reports. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at greg.griffith@dahp.wa.gov.

Sincerely,



Gregory Griffith
Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer

c: Danielle Page-Pattison





DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND NORTHWEST
1101 TAUTOG CIRCLE
SILVERDALE, WASHINGTON 98315-1101

5090
Ser N45/19-0154
15 Apr 2019

Allyson Brooks, PhD
State Historic Preservation Officer
Washington Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation
P.O. Box 48343
Olympia, WA 98504-8343

SUBJECT: LOG NO. 2017-05-03022-USN: REQUEST AGREEMENT ON FINDING
OF NO ADVERSE EFFECTS TO HISTORIC PROPERTIES, NAVAL
SPECIAL OPERATIONS TRAINING IN WESTERN WASHINGTON

Dear Dr. Brooks:

We received your letter dated March 28, 2019 requesting the Navy enter into a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) to substantiate a finding of no adverse effect for the subject undertaking. The Navy does not agree that an MOA is required.

As noted in prior correspondence, the Navy is committed to the mutually agreed measures, identified in our February 26, 2019 letter, in order to ensure no historic properties are adversely affected. Commitments are as follows: 1) reopen consultation per 36 CFR 800.5(d) if necessitated by a change in the undertaking; 2) ensure qualified review of new and renewed real estate agreements for new information such as the presence of eroding archaeological deposits or features; 3) implement the Inadvertent Discovery Plan; 4) provide sensitivity training; and 5) periodically confirm to your staff that adverse effects are being avoided.

Consistent with 36 CFR 800, the Navy has made a reasonable and good faith effort to identify historic properties, applied the criteria of adverse effect including consideration of reasonably foreseeable effects, and made its finding of no adverse effect with conditions imposed that are fully consistent with the requirements of 36 CFR 800.5(b). An additional agreement document is not required, because 36 CFR 800.5(d)(1) requires the agency to implement the undertaking in accordance with the documented finding.

In accordance with 36 CFR 800.5(c), the Navy requests your written agreement or disagreement with our finding of no adverse effect for the subject undertaking as defined.

Thank you for your continued correspondence on this undertaking. If you require additional information, please contact Mr. David Grant, NAVFAC NW Archaeologist at (360) 396-0919 or dave.m.grant@navy.mil.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'T. H. LAZO', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

T. H. LAZO, P.E.
Navy Environmental Program Director,
Northwest Region
By Direction of the Commanding
Officer



Allyson Brooks Ph.D., Director
State Historic Preservation Officer

April 25, 2019

Ms. T.H. Lazo, P.E.
Navy Environmental Program Director
NAVFAC NW
1101 Tautog Circle
Silverdale, WA 98315-1101

In future correspondence please refer to:
Project Tracking Code: 2017-05-03022
Re: Naval Special Operations Training in Western Washington State

Dear Ms. Lazo:

Thank you for your letter of April 15, 2019 to the Washington State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) regarding the above referenced proposal and in response to the Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation (DAHP) letter of March 28, 2019. Your letter has been reviewed on behalf of the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) under provisions of Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (as amended) and 36 CFR Part 800. Our review is based upon documentation contained in your communication.

In response and as stated in previous correspondence, we concur with and support the five stipulations identified in your letter. However, we need to have the stipulations placed into a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) in the event that an adverse effect occurs. The MOA will codify the agreements and stipulations in place to detail the operations of the Section 106 process during the course of the undertaking. The intent would serve the purposes of a brief programmatic agreement for the Navy to meet its responsibilities under the Section 106 process for this particular undertaking. We look forward to receiving and reviewing a draft document at your earliest convenience.

In addition, we also request copies of all correspondence concerning the training program that the Navy has received from interested and affected Tribes.

These comments are based on the information available at the time of this review and on behalf of the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) in conformance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and its implementing regulations 36 CFR 800.

Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment. Please ensure that the DAHP Project Number (a.k.a. Project Tracking Code) is shared with any hired cultural resource consultants and is attached to any communications or submitted reports. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at 360-586-3073 or greg.griffith@dahp.wa.gov.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads 'Greg Griffith'.

Gregory Griffith
Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer

C: David Grant
Danielle Page-Pattison

