



999020000760

10.03 - 1.0



ANDERSEN AFB GUAM

ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD COVER SHEET

AR File Number 2010-004

**ANDERSEN AIR FORCE BASE RESTORATION
ADVISORY BOARD MEETING**

March 24, 2010

ORIGINAL

PREPARED BY:

GEORGE B. CASTRO

DEPO RESOURCES

#49 Anacoco Lane, Nimitz Hill Estates

Piti, Guam 96915

Tel: (671)688-DEPO ■ Fax: (671)472-3094

**ANDERSEN AIR FORCE BASE RESTORATION
ADVISORY BOARD MEETING**

Andersen Air Force Base Restoration Advisory Board Meeting, was taken on Wednesday, March 24, 2010 at the hour of 6:30 p.m., at the Guam Marriott Hotel, 627B Pale San Vitores Road, Tumon, Guam. That at said time and place there transpired the following:

DEPO RESOURCES

George B. Castro

COURT REPORTER

Tel.: (671)688-DEPO * Fax: (671)472-3094

1 TUMON, GUAM, WEDNESDAY, MARCH 24, 2010; 6:30 P.M.

2

3 MR. IKEHARA: Okay. I want to welcome
4 everyone to the Andersen Air Force Base Public
5 Meeting. I want to thank people for taking
6 time out of your busy schedules to attend this
7 meeting. I wanted to introduce Colonel Tod
8 Fingal. He is our new Vice Wing Commander, and
9 also now the installation co-chair for the RAB,
10 our new co-chair member, so, I wanted to at
11 least introduce him. This will be the first
12 event that he's been to for this. Also, I
13 wanted to introduce Colonel Wieder and Colonel
14 Mathews. Our community co-chair John Jocson,
15 sitting there at the table.

16 MR. JOCSON: Hi.

17 MR. IKEHARA: Before we get started, I
18 want to kind of spell out the rules of
19 engagement of this meeting. We have a court
20 reporter present to record comments that are
21 made tonight, so if people could first of all
22 hold off on comments, questions, until after
23 the presentation. And also, to please make use
24 of the microphone. It's been difficult to
25 capture some of the comments in the past and we

DEPO RESOURCES

George B. Castro

Court Reporter

Tel.(671)688-DEPO * Fax(671)472-3094

1 want to make sure that all the comments are
2 picked up on the recorders as well as through
3 notes and whatnot. Also, please state your
4 name if you do have a comment or question so we
5 could make sure that that's in the record.

6 We are also soliciting for new RAB
7 members. We have a dwindling number of members
8 for the RAB, so there are sign-in sheets. If
9 you know of anybody that has interest in
10 attending the meetings, we would like to
11 bolster some of our numbers. It's been hard to
12 keep folks involved because of challenges with
13 their schedule and whatnot. So, we want to
14 encourage folks to sign up.

15 Well, in case you didn't know my name
16 is Gregg Ikehara, I'm the restoration manager
17 for Andersen Air Force Base. So we're going to
18 go ahead and get started.

19 The public meeting is part of a
20 community relations involvement that we have as
21 part of the CERCLA process, and as many of you
22 already know, the base has been placed on the
23 National Priorities List since '92, 1992. And
24 as a result of that, the Restoration Advisory
25 Board was required to be established. And so,

DEPO RESOURCES

George B. Castro

Court Reporter

Tel.(671)688-DEPO * Fax(671)472-3094

1 ours was started in 1995, and we still proclaim
2 that we were the first base in PACAF to have a
3 RAB, the Restoration Advisory Board.

4 This public meeting involves,
5 basically, soliciting comments for a proposed
6 plan which is a part of the process, the CERCLA
7 process, which is spelled out here. We are
8 currently in the proposed plan phase of the
9 process. That's just prior to us getting to a
10 final decision document that really stipulates
11 what our cleanup will involve. So, this is an
12 important aspect of CERCLA process, for those
13 of you who don't know the Comprehensive
14 Environmental Response Compensation and
15 Liability Act of 1984.

16 Just for context, I wanted to include
17 the current status of our cleanup program here
18 at Andersen. We are currently at 60 percent
19 completed. We've made some pretty big strides
20 over the last decade or so, and we're working
21 our way to complete closure of all these sites.
22 The 2012 date that we had under the Air Force
23 has been expanded out to 2014 date to have all
24 of our sites with the remediation in place. So
25 we are working hard to get that done in the

DEPO RESOURCES

George B. Castro

Court Reporter

Tel.(671)688-DEPO * Fax(671)472-3094

1 next few years. So we will have a lot of these
2 public meetings and cleanup activities coming
3 up really quickly here.

4 As you can see there are still some
5 studies they're preparing right now and some of
6 the sites are actually waiting for cleanup to
7 happen. The cleanups are costly, so we need to
8 schedule those appropriately.

9 So, tonight's meeting, again, is to
10 present selected remedy for six sites. It's a
11 30-day comment period during 15 March to April
12 14th, and verbal comments at this meeting will
13 be part of the comment -- part of the response
14 of this summary of the record of decision. Any
15 comments that are sent to me after tonight's
16 meeting will be accepted up until the 14th of
17 April. Just before Tax Day.

18 So, with that, I will turn it over to
19 Joe Vinch, who will present the bulk of
20 tonight's meeting.

21 MR. VINCH: Well, I'm Joe Vinch, I'm an
22 environmental engineer with the Air Force.
23 Tonight I'll be going over six sites that are
24 all located on main base Andersen. So we'll go
25 over the six sites and then we'll go through

DEPO RESOURCES

George B. Castro

Court Reporter

Tel.(671)688-DEPO * Fax(671)472-3094

1 the preferred remedial alternative for each of
2 those. And what I'll do for each site, we'll
3 get a brief background, a history of location,
4 an aerial view, photos, if I have any, how many
5 samples were taken, results of the risk
6 assessments, our remedial action objectives,
7 our alternatives, our choices and what we
8 propose to do for each site.

9 Okay. So, we'll start with the first
10 site. This is Site 63. This is located in the
11 Munitions Storage Area. It's about 8 acres in
12 size. So, we think this was used from 1946 to
13 '56, disposal of trash and debris in a
14 depression area. We looked back, we had an
15 aerial photo of 1959 and it showed this site
16 was inactive and vegetation was growing over
17 it.

18 Here's some of the debris you could see
19 at the site, drums and old aircraft parts, all
20 post war material. So this is what we'll do
21 for each site, soil samples. We did 50 on the
22 top and six subsurface samples. And we do it
23 for this range of contaminants.

24 Okay. So, we went through the risk
25 assessment for this, for Site 63, and metals

DEPO RESOURCES

George B. Castro

Court Reporter

Tel.(671)688-DEPO * Fax(671)472-3094

1 were found to pose an ecological and human risk
2 in this area for Site 63. And these are the
3 four metals. You have antimony, copper, lead,
4 zinc. It's strange, we keep seeing antimony at
5 our sites. We did a little research into it
6 and find out it's -- antimony is a component of
7 the ammunition discharge from refuse burning
8 and municipal waste.

9 The other thing that's kind of
10 interesting, it was a hardening agent for lead.
11 So, that may be why we see it at some sites
12 where we have unexploded munitions and burn
13 piles. It's also a flame retardant in plastics
14 and a coloring agent as well.

15 So, we were given or we have three
16 choices; one is no further action. Two is
17 institutional controls, that's like zoning, you
18 know, either putting fences or saying that land
19 can no -- could not be used for residential
20 purposes. And three is the soil removal or
21 cleanup. The Air Force wanted the soil
22 removed. We think that is the best choice for
23 this site.

24 Okay, off to 64. This is just a half
25 mile west of base landfill. That's 500 feet

DEPO RESOURCES

George B. Castro

Court Reporter

Tel.(671)688-DEPO * Fax(671)472-3094

1 north of our fuel pipeline. About 3½ acres, it
2 was used for asphalt drum storage. We found
3 about 100 to 300 corroding drums leftover in
4 this area, in 77 surface samples and 13
5 subsurface.

6 For Site 64, chromium was found to pose
7 a risk to resident children during the risk
8 assessment phase of this. However, it was in
9 the subsurface soil, so there was nothing on
10 the surface. It was in subsurface soil we did
11 find chromium.

12 So we looked at the three alternatives.
13 We went through the no cost and the
14 institutional controls and the soil removal for
15 \$1.9 million.

16 For this site, Air Force chose land use
17 controls. It will provide the protection
18 needed and it also provides the greatest return
19 on the investment compared to the other
20 alternatives. However, we will need to monitor
21 this site for the next 30 years and this will
22 remain a industrial area and without any
23 building, residential homes on it.

24 Okay. Site 65. This is 1500 feet
25 north of the base landfill, and a 1956 aerial

DEPO RESOURCES

George B. Castro

Court Reporter

Tel.(671)688-DEPO * Fax(671)472-3094

1 photo that showed 34 industrial buildings in
2 this area. A large site, 50 acres in size,
3 really big. I broke it down into 5 different
4 areas and it actually has a MEC component,
5 Munitions and Explosives of Concern area as
6 well in this area. It's also an industrial
7 area on the base.

8 Here is what the site looks like, just
9 debris from rubber tires, different debris
10 aircraft parts, unexploded munitions. Lots of
11 sampling done here: 343 on the top, 55
12 subsurface samples; a lot of sampling.

13 So we went through the risk assessments
14 for Site 65, PAHs, metals and munitions posed
15 human risk and also metals were found to pose
16 an ecological risk. I got a question earlier.
17 Someone asked me what are PAHs? They are a
18 group of over 100 different chemicals that are
19 formed during the incomplete burning of coal,
20 oil, gas, garbage or other organic substances
21 like tobacco or char-broiled meat. I thought
22 that was kind of interesting, but that came up.
23 People didn't understand what PAHs were. And
24 then there was also the ammunition hazard as
25 well at Site 65.

DEPO RESOURCES

George B. Castro

Court Reporter

Tel.(671)688-DEPO * Fax(671)472-3094

1 So, what we wanted to do, of course,
2 our remedial action objective was to prevent
3 any exposure to these items, to the metals and
4 PAHs above those levels. And for subsurface we
5 had the chromium and lead in this area.

6 Looked at the three alternatives: the
7 no further action, institutional controls or
8 the soil removal for \$2.5 million. Air Force
9 chose soil removal based on the risk.

10 Okay. Site 66. This is a large,
11 natural sinkhole just north of the flight line
12 on Andersen, main base. We found a 1950s
13 historical map that showed it as -- that
14 labeled it as Sewage Facilities, 811th area.
15 So, it's believed that we pumped waste water to
16 this area, and it would seep down. It was a
17 natural depression and they used it for
18 wastewater treatment. It's a picture of a
19 sinkhole, it looks pretty large.

20 The soil samples were taken on the
21 surface and subsurface and did for the full
22 range, ranging from VOCs all the way to metals.
23 Okay. When the risk analysis ran for this, no
24 human or ecological risks were identified. So
25 for this site is no further action. Nothing

DEPO RESOURCES

George B. Castro

Court Reporter

Tel.(671)688-DEPO * Fax(671)472-3094

1 else needs to be done at this site.

2 Site 72, this is just east of the
3 Munitions Storage Area. It had two components
4 to it: an Area A and an Area B. The Area A, it
5 looks like maybe it was a vehicle service pit,
6 had an asphalt pad, maybe changed oil there.
7 And Area B was another waste pile. It had
8 metal debris and the typical aircraft parts
9 that we see. It is, again, an industrial area.
10 Here we can see some old drums and metal debris
11 littering the site.

12 Collected soil samples, did the full
13 range of contaminants and did a risk
14 assessment. This one was a little different.
15 We had residual organic pesticides. They posed
16 a human and ecological risk and we also found
17 chromium in the subsurface soil and that posed
18 a risk to the humans as well. And also, DDT
19 was found. Most of you know it as an
20 insecticide used. DDT was banned in 1972, so
21 we no longer use that. But it was used -- it
22 was really widely used to control insects so it
23 was probably sprayed around the site during or
24 right after the war.

25 And, there are remedial action

DEPO RESOURCES

George B. Castro

Court Reporter

Tel.(671)688-DEPO * Fax(671)472-3094

1 objectives again, so we don't expose anyone to
2 DDD or DDE. The way that works, those are
3 actually components of DDT. DDT is the sum of
4 DDT, DDD and DDE. We looked at our three
5 remedial alternatives and we selected soil
6 removal for \$243,000.00 to clean this site up.

7 Okay, final site. This is Site 77.
8 It's just north of Site 65. It's got the most
9 interesting history on it. Found records that
10 showed it was from the U.S. Army 890th chemical
11 company. And it looks like they used to
12 prepare M47 Incendiary bombs there, 100-pound
13 bombs. Some people used to call it a gasoline
14 and rubber bomb. We used these against Japan
15 to start fires and they were built and stored
16 here in Site 77 and probably as well in Site 65
17 just south. It's an industrial area as well.
18 Largest site too, it's 37 acres.

19 Here's some photos. I went out on the
20 weekend and redid all this. Just kidding. We
21 had a team that would -- were looking for
22 munitions and they cleared the area to do
23 surveys. And what showed up out of -- these
24 footings, the upper right hand side, I don't
25 understand what it was, it was like a trailer

DEPO RESOURCES

George B. Castro

Court Reporter

Tel.(671)688-DEPO * Fax(671)472-3094

1 that had an engine attached to it. And then on
2 the bottom, just metal debris. But the site
3 was pretty interesting. It looks like some
4 places they built trenches. They pushed things
5 in, they started it on fire, they did some
6 munitions work there as well. But the EOD
7 troops that we had out, said it was probably a
8 pretty sloppy operation. They piled it in,
9 blew it up but maybe didn't go back to see if
10 everything was disarmed.

11 Again, this is World War II era. It
12 was right after the war over, probably wanted
13 to go home. Did surface and subsurface
14 sampling.

15 Okay, for 77, again we see the lead and
16 antimony and that was found to pose a risk to
17 human and ecological risk.

18 These are our objectives hidden below
19 those values. We looked at our three choices.
20 The soil removal on this site is pretty high.
21 It's \$2.9 million for this site. But due to
22 the risk, we really need to get it cleaned up
23 so we have to do a soil removal on this site
24 and that includes munitions as well. It'll
25 probably have to be done in a different phase.

DEPO RESOURCES

George B. Castro

Court Reporter

Tel.(671)688-DEPO * Fax(671)472-3094

1 You'll have to clear that munition area then go
2 back and get the lead and antimony out of the
3 soil.

4 And that was it, that's the six sites.
5 Again, if you have any questions or comments
6 you can send those to Gregg no later than 14
7 April. Any questions about any of the sites or
8 type of work we did?

9 MR. JOCSON: John Jocson here.
10 Question on three of the sites. On 63, is that
11 a natural sinkhole or an abandoned quarry?

12 MR. VINCH Well, that's come up a
13 bunch. We believe natural sinkhole. That's
14 what we're going with. Gregg agrees.

15 MR. IKEHARA: We actually have
16 photographs that were taken back in the '40s
17 and '50s and actually through to the current
18 time and it was never ever cleared of -- as you
19 would find in a quarry pit. So some of those
20 old pictures pretty much show that structures
21 were avoided because it was recognized as a
22 sinkhole and maybe things were put in there
23 like soil. It seems like there's a pretty good
24 layer of soil in there, but just a
25 configuration of that site really appears like

DEPO RESOURCES

George B. Castro

Court Reporter

Tel.(671)688-DEPO * Fax(671)472-3094

1 a natural sinkhole, not a quarry.

2 MR. JOCSON: And lastly, on Site 64 and
3 65, they're fairly close together. With their
4 subsurface findings, do you guys feel or found
5 any potential risk with your new production
6 wells that you guys put that are fairly near
7 the area?

8 MR. IKEHARA: Yeah, that's a good
9 question. We actually tried to keep most of
10 the production wells to the west of that area.
11 The gradient for the groundwater flow is
12 towards the cut at Taraque, so it's really
13 going north pretty much, not to the west. But
14 most of the constituents that we're seeing are
15 not really high enough to really be leaching
16 significantly. And we haven't really seen it
17 in the groundwater around those areas, but the
18 flow direction is in a different direction so
19 that pretty much helps us keep the water
20 quality in the production wells up.

21 MR. JOCSON: That's it. Thank you.

22 MR. VINCH: Thanks.

23 COL. WIEDER: Joe?

24 MR. VINCH: Yes.

25 COL. WIEDER: Before you get off that

DEPO RESOURCES

George B. Castro

Court Reporter

Tel.(671)688-DEPO * Fax(671)472-3094

1 site -- Colonel Wieder from the base. I just
2 want to point out, we missed a typo on this
3 slide. At Site 64 does not have a resident
4 child who lives there that -- we're trying to
5 be (laughter; inaudible) --

6 MR. VINCH: That's great.

7 COL. WIEDER: -- residential children
8 in the future.

9 MR. VINCH: Good catch. Good to hear
10 that. Thanks for that.

11 MS. JOANN BROWN: Thank you. Joann
12 Brown, RAB member. I wanted to ask about Site
13 64. This is a site that you're not going to
14 take any action to clean up?

15 MR. VINCH: That's correct. It had
16 chromium in the subsurface.

17 MS. JOANN BROWN: Why -- I totally
18 understand what is listed here, but was it more
19 of a financial decision? I mean, ideally, I've
20 always made the push to want to insure that we
21 clean up to the maximum level possible
22 especially where you mentioned you might be
23 dealing with additional 30 years of monitoring.
24 So why is that the case, if you had to continue
25 monitoring, is it more a financial decision at

DEPO RESOURCES

George B. Castro

Court Reporter

Tel.(671)688-DEPO * Fax(671)472-3094

1 this point not to address cleanup of the site?

2 MR. VINCH: And the answer is no. It's
3 not based on a financial decision but it's
4 based off of risk. If the risk was there for
5 exposure to either ecological or human, then it
6 would drive a cleanup. But since it's
7 subsurface, we can't justify it. That doesn't
8 mean that this site will never be cleaned up.
9 After that 30-year period we certainly could go
10 back or if there was a different land use for
11 that area we certainly could go back and clean
12 it up. But right now, the decision is to --
13 it's no further action -- I'm sorry; it would
14 be land use controls for that site.

15 So, for our base, we'll limit what's
16 done around that area. Who goes in it? What's
17 built there? If anything was ever sited in
18 that area then a cleanup would, may be needed.

19 MS. JOANN BROWN: You know, I do
20 understand all those points but at the same
21 time, if it's something you feel a need to
22 monitor, then that would be a concern. I mean,
23 I've been sitting on this RAB, now that Gregg
24 put the dates up there, for 15 years now, my
25 goodness, I'm dating myself already. And

DEPO RESOURCES

George B. Castro

Court Reporter

Tel.(671)688-DEPO * Fax(671)472-3094

1 again, I've always pushed and a number of us
2 from the community have always pushed to
3 maximize cleanup. We recognize in some cases
4 there may be restraints as to why the
5 technology is there. There may be safety
6 factors why a certain site cannot be cleaned
7 up. We understand sometimes in some cases the
8 need to cap and monitor for long term.

9 But, whenever the opportunity is there
10 to address cleanup, certainly from my
11 perspective and the community, we desire that.
12 I recognize the base and your own consultants
13 and, you know, internally, you guys come to
14 your own determination, but for those of us
15 that live here long term, we would like to see
16 whenever possible, maximum cleanup addressed.

17 At the other end, I want to point that
18 out because I hope that's something that would
19 be taken into consideration when you guys go
20 back and address that. But I do have to
21 commend you, I'm very pleased with the other
22 sites that the majority of these sites are
23 going to be cleaned up, that soil removal is
24 going to be done. We recognize the large price
25 tag and we're talking probably close to \$5

DEPO RESOURCES

George B. Castro

Court Reporter

Tel.(671)688-DEPO * Fax(671)472-3094

1 million dollars altogether if we combine all
2 those sites.

3 So, I'm certainly very appreciative of
4 the fact that majority of the sites that you
5 presented this evening are going to be cleaned
6 up to the maximum level possible.

7 And I also wanted to add on. I don't
8 do this very often because I'm commending too
9 much at one time, it's a rare moment, I'm sure
10 Greg knows that. But I think the fact that
11 progress has been made within less than 15
12 years to address close to 60 percent of the
13 sites identified to either address cleanup or
14 remediation, I think is something that you
15 deserve recognition for.

16 I think we are very pleased -- I mean,
17 of course we want to get that as high as
18 possible but we're also very pleased to see
19 that we're moving along. So, 60 percent in
20 terms of our overall agenda is pretty good. So
21 I'd like to recognize you and Andersen Air
22 Force Base for moving forward in this process.

23 MR. VINCH: Thanks. And should say
24 though, if the cost didn't get close, the
25 monitoring for 30 years and the cleanup cost,

DEPO RESOURCES

George B. Castro

Court Reporter

Tel.(671)688-DEPO * Fax(671)472-3094

1 then Gregg does make the decision its cost --
2 it's a benefit to do the cleanup. I know that
3 that's sometimes looked at. I mean, it is
4 based off of risk but then again, if it was
5 close, moneywise, I'm sure we'll clean it up.

6 MR. IKEHARA: As you pointed out, we do
7 prefer to do the full cleanup with no
8 encumbrance on that property. In some cases --
9 I think in that particular location, because of
10 its location --

11 MR. VINCH: Right.

12 MR. IKEHARA: -- it's actually in an
13 area where being next to a fuel pipeline,
14 structures won't be built.

15 MR. VINCH: Pipeline's here
16 (indicating).

17 MR. IKEHARA: It will remain an
18 industrial area as long as the base has
19 capability for pumping fuel. It's away from
20 any real public access point, so I think in
21 this particular instance we try not to put too
22 much land use controls on our -- as a solution.
23 But in this particular instance it made more
24 sense to just institute some level of control
25 over that site.

DEPO RESOURCES

George B. Castro

Court Reporter

Tel.(671)688-DEPO * Fax(671)472-3094

1 MS. JOANN BROWN: You know, I
2 certainly recognize on the base the advantages
3 you have at being able to control access to
4 certain parts of the base in terms of safety
5 and things of that nature. I just want to take
6 note for this particular site that ideally,
7 again, where maximum cleanup is possible, and
8 in this case it sounds like it is, it's just a
9 question of how you determine at this point
10 that the site can be restricted, that it's not
11 going to be something readily accessible. But
12 again, I just want to reiterate the point. I
13 think, whenever possible, because there are
14 sites on the base that we may never, at least
15 not in our lifetime, be able to address full
16 cleanup. We do remediation, we hope the
17 technology that we're putting in place is going
18 to address those concerns, but at the same time
19 whenever it's possible -- because you can
20 restrict many areas of the base. I don't
21 ideally like to see that as a reason to not
22 address cleanup whenever possible. And it also
23 gives the community greater confidence that
24 this is one last thing that they have to worry
25 about.

DEPO RESOURCES

George B. Castro

Court Reporter

Tel.(671)688-DEPO * Fax(671)472-3094

1 MR. IKEHARA: Good comment.

2 MR. VINCH: It is.

3 COLONEL WIEDER: Gregg Ikehara?

4 MR. IKEHARA: Yes, sir?

5 COLONEL WIEDER: The -- when we do the
6 land use controls, on an earlier slide you had
7 a pie chart with three categories: close,
8 pending study, pending clean up. Does a land
9 use control project or site go in to the
10 pending cleanup, remain there until it closes
11 later?

12 MR. IKEHARA: It's actually a part of
13 the remedy, sir. So we actually claim it as a
14 part of the remedy that we've instituted. Even
15 if it may require 30 years of observation, you
16 know, we have to put it in the base general
17 plan. Whenever we do a siting, we look at the
18 land use controls that are instituted on the
19 area. So, it is considered a remedy at this
20 point.

21 COLONEL WIEDER: Completed then?

22 MR. IKEHARA: Yes. Well, it won't be
23 complete, truly, until after the 30-year
24 period.

25 MR. VINCH: Yes.

DEPO RESOURCES

George B. Castro

Court Reporter

Tel.(671)688-DEPO * Fax(671)472-3094

1 MR. IKEHARA: But -- we're not saying
2 that it's actually a response-complete. It's
3 actually just a remedy in place, so, that
4 remedy may change as land use changes for that
5 particular area.

6 COLONEL WIEDER: Okay. So, as land use
7 it's as if we require that land? Or if
8 technology changes, that we can get some
9 subsurface chromium out and the price changes,
10 it would be continuously readdressed, we don't
11 have to wait for 30 years before we look at it
12 again?

13 MR. IKEHARA: Correct.

14 COLONEL WIEDER: Okay.

15 MR. IKEHARA: Any other comments?

16 MR. GAWEL: I'm Mike Gawel. I may have
17 missed a point but I noticed that the -- say,
18 the budgets will determine when we proceed with
19 the various steps with the cleanup. And is
20 there a priority among these that we're
21 discussing tonight as far as when money becomes
22 available? Which would be the higher priority
23 sites.

24 MR. IKEHARA: Actually, all these sites
25 that we're talking about are all scheduled for

DEPO RESOURCES

George B. Castro

Court Reporter

Tel.(671)688-DEPO * Fax(671)472-3094

1 an action in 2011.

2 MR. GAWEL: Okay.

3 MR. IKEHARA: Okay? And they have been
4 prioritized early on in the program, so right
5 now we're dealing with all the low-risk sites.
6 We've taken out all the high-risk sites earlier
7 in the years that we've been meeting so a lot
8 of the higher and medium risk sites have all
9 been addressed. So, we're basically addressing
10 the tail of a list of sites at this point.

11 MR. GAWEL: Very good.

12 MR. VINCH: Excellent. Anything else?
13 Thank you very much for coming tonight. We
14 really appreciate it.

15

16

17 (Restoration Advisory Meeting adjourned at 7:45 p.m.)

18 **TUMON, GUAM, WEDNESDAY, MARCH 24, 2010**

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DEPO RESOURCES

George B. Castro

Court Reporter

Tel.(671)688-DEPO * Fax(671)472-3094

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, **George B. Castro**, Court Reporter, do hereby certify the foregoing 25 pages to be a true and correct transcript of the audio recording made by an Officer of Depo Resources.

I do hereby certify the transcript was prepared by me or under my supervision.

I further certify that I am not a direct relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor a direct relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, and that I am not directly or indirectly interested in the matters contained herein.

In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and seal of Court this 27th day of March, 2010.



George B. Castro

DEPO RESOURCES

George B. Castro

Court Reporter

Tel.(671)688-DEPO * Fax(671)472-3094

CHANGES TO TRANSCRIPTION

1				
2				
3	Page	Change	Reason	Initial
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

DEPO RESOURCES

George B. Castro

Court Reporter

Tel.(671)688-DEPO * Fax(671)472-3094

*AAFB Restoration Advisory Board (RAB)/
Proposed Plan Public Meeting for
Site 66, Sites 65 & 72, and Sites 63, 64, & 77*

24 March 2010

NAME	AFFILIATION	TEL. NO.
Alan Wieder	36 MSG	366-4551
TOD FINGAL	36 W61CV	366-3601
ANTHONY JAY SINGA	GCC	
John Jackson	GCC	
Cherlene Parks	EA Science & Tech.	
Isabel Gausel	Self	
Mike Gavel	Public	
Carmen Sian-Dent	GWA	
Richard Matthews	36 CES	366-7101
Brian Thomas	Smith/Associates	
Joanne Brown	RAB member	
Robert Shambach	EA Science + Technology	
Richard Gray	NAVFAC MARIANNA	
Pete Phillips	Dabo m. Phlyp	

*Mtg held at "The View", Marriott Hotel, Tumon

24 March 2010

[illegible]

*Mtg held at "The View", Marriott Hotel, Tumon

FINAL PAGE

ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD

FINAL PAGE

FINAL PAGE

ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD

FINAL PAGE